arXiv:1301.4531v2 [math.AP] 4 Feb 2013

RECONSTRUCT LAMÉ PARAMETERS OF LINEAR ISOTROPIC ELASTICITY SYSTEM

Ru-Yu Lai

Abstract. Transient Elastography enables detection and characterization of tissue abnormalities. In this paper we assume that the displacements are modeled by linear isotropic elasticity system and the tissue displacement has been obtained by the first step in hybrid methods. Then we reconstruct the Lamé parameters of this system from knowledge of tissue displacement. We show that for a sufficiently large number of solutions of the elasticity system and for an open set of the well-chosen boundary conditions, (λ, μ) can be uniquely and stably reconstructed. The set of well-chosen boundary conditions is characterized in terms of appropriate complex geometrical optics solutions.

1. Introduction

Medical imaging is the technique and process used to create images of the human body for clinical purposes or medical science. Each available imagine method has its advantages and disadvantages. For instance, in breast imaging ultrasound provides high resolution, while suffers from a low contrast. Medical imaging modalities such as Computerized Tomography (CT) and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) are examples of modalities providing high resolution. In some situations, these modalities fail to exhibit a sufficient contrast between different types of tissues. Other modalities, based on optical, elastic, or electrical properties of these tissues, display high contrast, such as Optical Tomography (OT) and Electrical Impedance Tomography (EIT). For example, many tumors absorb more energy of electromagnetic waves than healthy cells. This means that using such electromagnetic waves offers high contrast, but the resolution is low in this case.

In order to obtain better image, the natural idea is to try to combine different imaging modalities. These are coupled-physics imaging methods, also called hybrid methods. It is to combine the high resolution modality with another high contrast modality. Examples of possible physical couplings include: Photo-Acoustic Tomography (PAT) and Thermo-Acoustic Tomography (TAT), Ultrasound modulated Optical Tomography (UMOT) and elasticity with ultrasound in Transient Elastography (TE).

Reconstructions in hybrid inverse problems involve two steps. The first step is to solve the inverse problem concerning the high-resolution-low-contrast

---

Department of Mathematics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA. Email: rylai@uw.edu.

modality. For instance, in PAT and TAT, this corresponds to the reconstruction of the map of absorbed radiation from the ultrasonic measurements from boundary acoustic wave measurements. In Transient Elastography, this is to solving an inverse scattering problem in a time-dependent wave equation. In the second step, we assume that the first step has been done and we try to reconstruct the coefficients that display high contrast from the mappings obtained during the first step.

In this paper, the modality we consider is Transient Elastography. See [10] and references there for more details. In TE, the high resolution modality is also ultrasound. The tissue displacement data can be obtained by ultrasound in the first step. The second step is to recover the Lamé parameters from the knowledge of the tissue displacement. In the following paper, we will assume the first step has been performed.

The displacement is modeled by the following equations. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n = 2, 3$, be an open bounded domain with smooth boundary. Let u be the displacement satisfying the linear isotropic elasticity system

$$\nabla \cdot (\lambda(\nabla \cdot u)I + 2S(\nabla u)\mu) + \omega^2 u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{1}$$

where $S(A) = (A + A^T)/2$ is the symmetric part of the matrix A. Here (λ, μ) are Lamé parameters and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ is the frequency. The set of internal functions obtained by ultrasound in TE is given by :

$$H(x) = (u_j(x))_{1 \le j \le J} \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Denote that $\mathcal{P} = \{(\lambda, \mu) \in C^7(\overline{\Omega}) \times C^9(\overline{\Omega});\ 0 < m \le \|\lambda\|_{C^7(\overline{\Omega})}, \|\mu\|_{C^9(\overline{\Omega})} \le M \ \text{ and } \ \lambda,\ \mu > 0\}$. Let H and $\tilde{H}$ be two sets of internal data of the elasticity system with parameters (λ, μ) and $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu})$, respectively. Below is our main result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Ω be an open bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with smooth boundary. Suppose that the Lamé parameters (λ, μ) and $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}) \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\mu|_{\partial\Omega} = \tilde{\mu}|_{\partial\Omega}$. Then there is an open set of the given boundary data such that the uniqueness and the global stability of (λ, μ) hold.*

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce the CGO solutions of the elasticity system. Section 3 is devoted to constructing the Lamé parameters in two-dimensional case. The reconstruction of (λ, μ) in 3D is presented in section 4.

2. Complex geometric optics solutions of the elasticity system

In this section, we will briefly introduce the complex geometric optics (CGO) solutions of the elasticity system. Based on [9], we can derive the following reduced system. Let $\begin{pmatrix} w \\ f \end{pmatrix}$ satisfy

$$\Delta \begin{pmatrix} w \\ f \end{pmatrix} + V_1(x) \begin{pmatrix} \nabla f \\ \nabla \cdot w \end{pmatrix} + V_0(x) \begin{pmatrix} w \\ f \end{pmatrix} = 0. \tag{2}$$

Here V_0 contains the third derivative of μ and

$$V_1(x) = \begin{pmatrix} -2\mu^{1/2}\nabla^2\mu^{-1} + \mu^{-3/2}\omega^2 & -\nabla \log \mu \\ 0 & \frac{\lambda+\mu}{\lambda+2\mu}\mu^{1/2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the solution of the elasticity system (1) is

$$u := \mu^{-1/2} w + \mu^{-1}\nabla f - f\nabla\mu^{-1}.$$

Here $\nabla^2 g$ denotes the Hessian matrix $\partial^2 g/\partial x_j \partial x_k$. Note that we will not need the explicit form of V_0 in the construction of CGO solution.

The construction of CGO solutions of (2) with linear phase was first deduced by Nakamura and Uhlmann in [11] and [12], where they introduced the intertwining property in handling the first order term. Eskin and Ralston [7] also gave a similar result in 2002. Later Uhlmann and Wang [15] used Carleman estimate to deduce the CGO solutions in two-dimensional case.

In the following sections, we will use the CGO solutions constructed in Eskin and Ralston's paper [7]. For the rest of this section, we will introduce the key lemma from [7].

To construct CGO solutions of (2), it is convenient to work on $\mathbb{R}^n$ instead of Ω. Since Ω is bounded, we pick a ball B_R for $R > 0$, such that $\overline{\Omega} \subset B_R$ and extend λ and μ to $\mathbb{R}^n$ by preserving its smoothness and also suppλ, supp$\mu \subset B_R$.

Let α and β be two orthogonal unit vectors in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Denote that $\rho = \tau(\alpha + i\beta) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\theta = \alpha + i\beta$ with $\tau > 0$. The following lemma proved by Eskin and Ralston in [7] in three-dimensional case, but it is still true in two dimensional space.

Lemma 2.1. *(Eskin-Ralston) Consider the Schrödinger equation with external Yang-Mills potentials*

$$Lu = -\Delta u - 2iA(x)\cdot\nabla u + B(x)u = 0, \qquad x \in B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^n \tag{3}$$

where $A(x) = (A_1(x), \ldots, A_n(x)) \in C^{n_0}(B_R)$, $n_0 \geq 6$ *with* $A_i(x)$ *and* $B(x)$ *are* $(n+1)\times(n+1)$ *matrices. Then there are solutions of (3) of the form*

$$u = e^{i\rho\cdot x}(C_0(x,\theta)g(\theta\cdot x) + O(\tau^{-1}))$$

where $C_0 \in C^{n_0}(B_R)$ *is the solution of*

$$i\theta \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} C_0(x,\theta) = \theta\cdot A(x) C_0(x,\theta)$$

with $\det C_0$ *is never zero,* $g(z)$ *is an arbitrary polynomial in complex variables* z, *and* $O(\tau^{-1})$ *is bounded by* $C(1/\tau)$ *in* $H^k(B_R)$, $0 \leq k \leq n_0 - 2$.

By the lemma above, the CGO solutions of (2) can be written as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} w \\ f \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\rho\cdot x}\left(\begin{pmatrix} r \\ s \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1})\right),$$

where $(r,\ s)^T$ is $C_0(x,\theta)g(\theta\cdot x)$. We can write $w = e^{i\rho\cdot x}(r + O(\tau^{-1}))$ and $f = e^{i\rho\cdot x}(s + O(\tau^{-1}))$. Plug $(w, f)^T$ into $u = \mu^{-1/2}w + \mu^{-1}\nabla f - f\nabla\mu^{-1}$. Then we have the CGO solutions of the elasticity system. Note that $(r, s)^T$ satisfies the equation

$$-2\theta \cdot \nabla \begin{pmatrix} r \\ s \end{pmatrix} = V_1(x) \begin{pmatrix} 0_{n\times n} & \theta \\ \theta^T & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r \\ s \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4}$$

Remark 1. Since C_0 is invertible at every point in Ω, we can conclude that $(r,\ s)^T$ is not zero everywhere in Ω. This does not imply that both r and s never vanish in Ω. However, for any point $y \in \Omega$, there is a small neighborhood B_y of y in Ω and a CGO solution of $(w, f)^T$ such that both r and s do not vanish in B_y.

3. RECONSTRUCTION OF LAMÉ PARAMETERS IN TWO-DIMENSIONAL CASE

In the previous section, we already have the CGO solutions of the elasticity system. Now we want to use them to give a reconstruction of μ. Let $u = (u_1, u_2)^T$ be the displacement which satisfies the elasticity system

$$\nabla \cdot (\lambda(\nabla \cdot u)I + 2S(\nabla u)\mu) + \omega^2 u = 0. \tag{5}$$

From (5), we can deduce the following equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_1(\nabla\cdot u) \\ \partial_2(\nabla\cdot u) \\ \nabla\cdot u \\ \nabla\cdot u \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \lambda+\mu \\ \lambda+\mu \\ \partial_1(\lambda+\mu) \\ \partial_2(\lambda+\mu) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a+b \\ a-b \\ \partial_1(a+b) \\ \partial_2(a-b) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1\mu \\ \partial_2\mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} = -\omega^2 u^*, \tag{6}$$

where $a = \partial_2 u_1 + \partial_1 u_2,\ b = \partial_1 u_1 - \partial_2 u_2$, and $u^* = (u_1 + u_2)$.

Inspired by the paper of Bal and Uhlmann in 2012 [3], we can eliminate the first term of (6). Since the vector

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1(\nabla\cdot u) \\ \partial_2(\nabla\cdot u) \\ \nabla\cdot u \\ \nabla\cdot u \end{pmatrix} \tag{7}$$

just has three different entries, we only need to construct three linearly independent vectors on some subdomain in Ω. With these three vectors, we can remove the first term of the left hand side of the equation (6). More precisely, suppose that u^j, for $j = 1, 2, 3$, are three different solutions of (5). Then

$$v_j = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1(\nabla\cdot u^j) \\ \partial_2(\nabla\cdot u^j) \\ \nabla\cdot u^j \\ \nabla\cdot u^j \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \dot{v}_j = \begin{pmatrix} a^j + b^j \\ a^j - b^j \\ \partial_1(a^j + b^j) \\ \partial_2(a^j - b^j) \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfies that

$$v_j \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \lambda+\mu \\ \lambda+\mu \\ \partial_1(\lambda+\mu) \\ \partial_2(\lambda+\mu) \end{pmatrix} + \dot{v}_j \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1\mu \\ \partial_2\mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} = -\omega^2 u^{j*}. \tag{8}$$

for $j = 1, 2, 3$, where $u^{j*} = u_1^j + u_2^j$. Assume that (v_1, v_2, v_3) are three linearly independent vectors in some subdomain of Ω, say Ω_0. Then there exist three functions Θ_1, Θ_2, and Θ_3 such that v can be expressed as $v + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \Theta_j v_j = 0$. Multiplying (8) by Θ_j and summing over j with equation (6), we have

$$(\dot{v} + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \Theta_j \dot{v}_j) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1 \mu \\ \partial_2 \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} = -\omega^2 (u^* + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \Theta_j u^{j*}).$$

Let $\beta = (\mathrm{v} \cdot e_1)\tilde{e}_1 + (\mathrm{v} \cdot e_2)\tilde{e}_2$ and $\gamma = (e_3 + e_4) \cdot \mathrm{v}$. Here $\mathrm{v} = \dot{v} + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \Theta_j \dot{v}_j$ and $e_j \in \mathbb{R}^4$, $\tilde{e}_j \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with the j^{th} entry is 1 and others are zero. Then the above equation can be rewritten as

$$\beta \cdot \nabla \mu + \gamma \mu = -\omega^2 (u^* + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \Theta_j u^{j*}).$$

Suppose that the real and imaginary part of $\beta(x)$ are linearly independent in $\mathbb{R}^2$ for every $x \in \Omega_0$. Then we can recover μ in $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ for each frequency ω independently.

3.1. **Local stability of μ in 2D.** We will show that how we can get three linear independent vectors of the form (7). We plug the CGO solutions $u_\rho = \mu^{-1/2} w_\rho + \mu^{-1} \nabla f_\rho - f_\rho \nabla \mu^{-1}$ into v_ρ, where

$$v_\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1(\nabla \cdot u_\rho) \\ \partial_2(\nabla \cdot u_\rho) \\ \nabla \cdot u_\rho \\ \nabla \cdot u_\rho \end{pmatrix}. \tag{9}$$

Then we get v_ρ is

$$e^{i\rho\cdot x} \left(\begin{pmatrix} -\mu^{-1/2}(\rho_1^2 r_1 + \rho_1\rho_2 r_2) - 2\mu^{-1}(\rho_1^2 \partial_1 s + \rho_1\rho_2 \partial_2 s) + O(|\rho|) \\ -\mu^{-1/2}(\rho_2^2 r_2 + \rho_1\rho_2 r_1) - 2\mu^{-1}(\rho_2^2 \partial_2 s + \rho_1\rho_2 \partial_1 s) + O(|\rho|) \\ i\mu^{-1/2}(\rho_1 r_1 + \rho_2 r_2) + 2i\mu^{-1} \rho \cdot \nabla s \\ i\mu^{-1/2}(\rho_1 r_1 + \rho_2 r_2) + 2i\mu^{-1} \rho \cdot \nabla s \end{pmatrix} + O(1) \right). \tag{10}$$

Since $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathcal{P}$, by Lemma 2.1, it implies that r and s are in C^7 and $O(\tau^{-1}) \in H^5$. Note that $r = (r_1, r_2)^T$ and $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2)^T$.

Now we fix any point $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$. Let $\rho = \tau(1, i) = -i\tilde{\rho} \in \mathbb{C}^2$ with $\tau > 0$.

Since, in Lemma 2.1, the matrix solution $C_0(x, \theta)$ is invertible, we can choose a constant vector $g^{(0)}$ such that $C_0(x, \theta) g^{(0)} = (r^{(0)}, s^{(0)})^T$ with the conditions

$$s^{(0)}(x_0) = 1, \quad s^{(0)} \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho \cdot r^{(0)} \neq 0$$

in a neighborhood of x_0 in B_R, say U. Then we have the CGO solution of the elasticity system, that is,

$$u_\rho^{(0)} = \mu^{-1/2} w_\rho^{(0)} + \mu^{-1} \nabla f_\rho^{(0)} - f_\rho^{(0)} \nabla \mu^{-1},$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} w_\rho^{(0)} \\ f_\rho^{(0)} \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\rho\cdot x}\left(\begin{pmatrix} r^{(0)} \\ s^{(0)} \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1})\right).$$

Let $\theta = \rho/\tau, \tilde{\theta} = \tilde{\rho}/\tau$. Let $C_1(x,\theta)$ and $C_2(x,\tilde{\theta})$ satisfy the following two equations

$$i\theta\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial x}C_1(x,\theta) = \theta\cdot V_1(x)C_1(x,\theta), \quad i\tilde{\theta}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial x}C_2(x,\tilde{\theta}) = \tilde{\theta}\cdot V_1(x)C_2(x,\tilde{\theta}),$$

respectively. Since $\tilde{\rho} = i\rho$, we can choose $C_2(x,\tilde{\theta}) = C_1(x,\theta)$. Moreover, with suitable constant vector g, we can get that $r^{(2)} = r^{(1)}$ and $s^{(2)} = s^{(1)}$ and

$$s^{(k)}(x_0) = 0\ ,\ |\nabla s^{(k)}(x_0)| \le \sqrt{2}/8;\ \ r^{(1)}(x_0) = (1,-i) = r^{(2)}(x_0).$$

By continuity of $r^{(k)}$, we have $\rho\cdot r^{(k)} \neq 0$ in a neighborhood U of x_0. Then the CGO solutions are

$$u_\rho^{(k)} = \mu^{-1/2}w_\rho^{(k)} + \mu^{-1}\nabla f_\rho^{(k)} - f_\rho^{(k)}\nabla\mu^{-1},$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} w_\rho^{(1)} \\ f_\rho^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\rho\cdot x}\left(\begin{pmatrix} r^{(1)} \\ s^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1})\right), \quad \begin{pmatrix} w_\rho^{(2)} \\ f_\rho^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\tilde{\rho}\cdot x}\left(\begin{pmatrix} r^{(2)} \\ s^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1})\right).$$

So far we have three CGO solutions, that is, $u_\rho^{(0)}$, $u_\rho^{(1)}$, and $u_\rho^{(2)}$. From the previous section, the equation (4) gives us

$$-2\rho\cdot\nabla s = \frac{\lambda+\mu}{\lambda+2\mu}\mu^{1/2}\rho\cdot r. \tag{11}$$

Using (10) and (11), we obtain that

$$\mathfrak{u}_\rho^1 := e^{-i\rho\cdot x}|\rho|^{-2}v_\rho^1 = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}(r_1^{(1)} + ir_2^{(1)}) \\ -\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}(ir_1^{(1)} - r_2^{(1)}) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1}),$$

where $r^{(1)} = (r_1^{(1)}, r_2^{(1)})$. Moreover, at point x_0,

$$\mathfrak{u}_\rho^1(x_0) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2i \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1}).$$

Similarly, we use (10) and (11), then we obtain that

$$\mathfrak{u}_\rho^2 := e^{-i\rho\cdot x}|\rho|^{-1}v_\rho^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}\tau(r_1^{(1)} + ir_2^{(1)}) + O(1) \\ -\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}\tau(ir_1^{(1)} - r_2^{(1)}) + O(1) \\ i\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}(r_1^{(1)} + ir_2^{(1)}) \\ i\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}(r_1^{(1)} + ir_2^{(1)}) \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1})$$

and

$$\mathfrak{u}_\rho^2(x_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}\begin{pmatrix} -2\tau + O(1) \\ -2\tau i + O(1) \\ 2i \\ 2i \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1}).$$

Also,

$$\mathfrak{u}_\rho^3 := e^{-i\tilde{\rho}\cdot x}|\tilde{\rho}|^{-1}v_\rho^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}\tau(-r_1^{(2)} - ir_2^{(2)}) + O(1) \\ -\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}\tau(-ir_1^{(2)} + r_2^{(2)}) + O(1) \\ i\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}(ir_1^{(2)} - r_2^{(2)}) \\ i\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}(ir_1^{(2)} - r_2^{(2)}) \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1})$$

and

$$\mathfrak{u}_\rho^3(x_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}\begin{pmatrix} 2\tau + O(1) \\ 2\tau i + O(1) \\ -2 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1}).$$

Let $\mathfrak{u}_\rho^4 = \mathfrak{u}_\rho^2 + \mathfrak{u}_\rho^3$. Since $r^{(2)} = r^{(1)}$ and $s^{(2)} = s^{(1)}$, we have

$$\mathfrak{u}_\rho^4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} O(1) \\ O(1) \\ i\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}((1+i)r_1^{(1)} + (-1+i)r_2^{(1)}) \\ i\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}((1+i)r_1^{(1)} + (-1+i)r_2^{(1)}) \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1})$$

and

$$\mathfrak{u}_\rho^4(x_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}\begin{pmatrix} O(1) \\ O(1) \\ 2i-2 \\ 2i-2 \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1}).$$

We denote $\Re f$ and $\Im f$ to be the real and imaginary part of f, respectively. Then $\Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^1$, $\Im\mathfrak{u}_\rho^1$, and $\Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^4$ are linearly independent in the neighborhood U of x_0 when τ is sufficiently large. Therefore, there exist functions θ_j and ϑ_j, $j = 1, 2, 3$, such that

$$\Re e^{-i\rho\cdot x}v_\rho^0/|\rho|^2 + \theta_1\Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^1 + \theta_2\Im\mathfrak{u}_\rho^1 + \theta_3\Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^4 = 0$$

and

$$\Im e^{-i\rho\cdot x}v_\rho^0/|\rho|^2 + \vartheta_1\Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^1 + \vartheta_2\Im\mathfrak{u}_\rho^1 + \vartheta_3\Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^4 = 0,$$

respectively.

Summing over j, we have the following equations

$$\beta_i \cdot \nabla\mu + \gamma_i\mu = -\omega^2\tilde{u}_i, \qquad \text{for } i = 1, 2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_1 &= \Re\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}\begin{pmatrix} a+b \\ a-b \end{pmatrix} + \theta_1\Re\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}\begin{pmatrix} a^1+b^1 \\ a^1-b^1 \end{pmatrix} + \theta_2\Im\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}\begin{pmatrix} a^1+b^1 \\ a^1-b^1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + \theta_3\Re\left(\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|}\begin{pmatrix} a^1+b^1 \\ a^1-b^1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{e^{-i\tilde{\rho}\cdot x}}{|\tilde{\rho}|}\begin{pmatrix} a^2+b^2 \\ a^2-b^2 \end{pmatrix}\right),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_2 &= \Im\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}\begin{pmatrix} a+b \\ a-b \end{pmatrix} + \vartheta_1\Re\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}\begin{pmatrix} a^1+b^1 \\ a^1-b^1 \end{pmatrix} + \vartheta_2\Im\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}\begin{pmatrix} a^1+b^1 \\ a^1-b^1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + \vartheta_3\Re\left(\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|}\begin{pmatrix} a^1+b^1 \\ a^1-b^1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{e^{-i\tilde{\rho}\cdot x}}{|\tilde{\rho}|}\begin{pmatrix} a^2+b^2 \\ a^2-b^2 \end{pmatrix}\right)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot\left(\Re\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}\begin{pmatrix} \partial_1(a+b) \\ \partial_2(a-b) \end{pmatrix} + \theta_1\Re\mathfrak{v}_1 + \theta_2\Im\mathfrak{v}_1 + \theta_3\Re\mathfrak{v}_4\right),$$

$$\gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot\left(\Im\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}\begin{pmatrix} \partial_1(a+b) \\ \partial_2(a-b) \end{pmatrix} + \vartheta_1\Re\mathfrak{v}_1 + \vartheta_2\Im\mathfrak{v}_1 + \vartheta_3\Re\mathfrak{v}_4\right).$$

Note that

$$\mathfrak{v}_\rho^1 = e^{-i\rho\cdot x}|\rho|^{-2}\begin{pmatrix} \partial_1(a^1+b^1) \\ \partial_2(a^1-b^1) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{v}_\rho^4 = e^{-i\rho\cdot x}|\rho|^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} \partial_1(a^1+b^1) \\ \partial_2(a^1-b^1) \end{pmatrix} + e^{-i\tilde{\rho}\cdot x}|\tilde{\rho}|^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} \partial_1(a^2+b^2) \\ \partial_2(a^2-b^2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{u}_1 &= \Re\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}u^{(0)*} + \theta_1\Re\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}u^{(1)*} + \theta_2\Im\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}u^{(1)*} \\ &\quad + \theta_3\Re\left(\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|}u^{(1)*} + \frac{e^{-i\tilde{\rho}\cdot x}}{|\tilde{\rho}|}u^{(2)*}\right)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{u}_2 &= \Im\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}u^{(0)*} + \vartheta_1\Re\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}u^{(1)*} + \vartheta_2\Im\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}u^{(1)*} \\ &\quad + \vartheta_3\Re\left(\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|}u^{(1)*} + \frac{e^{-i\tilde{\rho}\cdot x}}{|\tilde{\rho}|}u^{(2)*}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where $u^{(j)*} = u_1^{(j)} + u_2^{(j)}$.

Remark 2. By choosing suitable $g^{(0)}$, $|\theta_3(x_0)|$ and $|\vartheta_3(x_0)|$ can be less than or equal to 1. To show that, we let $m = \max\{|\theta_3(x_0)|, |\vartheta_3(x_0)|\}$. We choose a new constant vector $\hat{g}^{(0)}$, instead of the original $g^{(0)}$, such that $(\hat{r}^{(0)}, \hat{s}^{(0)})^T = C_0(x,\theta)\hat{g}^{(0)}(\theta\cdot x)$ where $\hat{r}^{(0)}(x_0) = r^{(0)}(x_0)/M,\ M > m,\ \hat{s}^{(0)}(x_0) = s^{(0)}(x_0)$ and

$(\hat{r}^{(0)}, \hat{s}^{(0)})^T$ satisfies the original assumption, that is, $\hat{s}^{(0)}(x_0) = 1$ and $\hat{s}^{(0)} \neq 0$ and $\rho \cdot \hat{r}^{(0)} \neq 0$ in a neighborhood of x_0. Then

$$\theta_3(x_0) = -P^{3j}\Re\left(\frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}(1,i)\cdot r^{(0)}(x_0) \\ -\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}i(1,i)\cdot r^{(0)}(x_0) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1})\right)\cdot p_j$$

and

$$\hat{\theta}_3(x_0) = -P^{3j}\Re\left(\frac{1}{2M}\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}(1,i)\cdot r^{(0)}(x_0) \\ -\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}i(1,i)\cdot r^{(0)}(x_0) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1})\right)\cdot p_j,$$

where $P = (p_{ij})$ with $p_{ij} = p_i \cdot p_j$ is a boundedly invertible symmetric matrix with P^{ij} the entries of P^{-1}. Here $p_1 = \Re\mathbf{u}_\rho^1$, $p_2 = \Im\mathbf{u}_\rho^1$, $p_3 = \Re\mathbf{u}_\rho^4$. From above, we obtain that $\hat{\theta}_3$ is close to θ_3/M as τ is large. Therefore, the new $|\hat{\theta}_3(x_0)|$ are less than or equal to 1 when τ is sufficiently large. Similarly, we have $|\hat{\vartheta}_3(x_0)| \leq 1$. In fact, $|\theta_3(x_0)|$ and $|\vartheta_3(x_0)|$ can be very small when we choose a suitable constant vector $g^{(0)}$.

Lemma 3.1. *β_j is not zero in some neighborhood of x_0, for $j = 1, 2$.*

Note that we denote by $\sim$ equalities up to terms which are asymptotically negligible as τ goes to infinity.

Proof. From above construction, we have knew that $\theta_i \sim \tau^0$ and $\vartheta_i \sim \tau^0$. At point x_0, since $s^{(1)}(x_0) = 0$, we have

$$\Re\left(\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}\begin{pmatrix} a^1 + b^1 \\ a^1 - b^1 \end{pmatrix}\right)(x_0) \sim \tau^{-1} \tag{12}$$

and

$$\Im\left(\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}\begin{pmatrix} a^1 + b^1 \\ a^1 - b^1 \end{pmatrix}\right)(x_0) \sim \tau^{-1}. \tag{13}$$

Since $s^{(k)}(x_0) = 0$, $r^{(2)} = r^{(1)}$, $s^{(2)} = s^{(1)}$, and $(1, -i)\cdot r^{(1)}(x_0) = 0$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Re\left(\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|}\begin{pmatrix} a^1 + b^1 \\ a^1 - b^1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{e^{-i\tilde{\rho}\cdot x}}{|\tilde{\rho}|}\begin{pmatrix} a^2 + b^2 \\ a^2 - b^2 \end{pmatrix}\right)(x_0) \\ &= \Re\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\tau}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 2\mu^{-1}\tau(2i\partial_1 s^{(1)} + 2\partial_2 s^{(1)}) \\ 2\mu^{-1}\tau(-2\partial_1 s^{(1)} + 2i\partial_2 s^{(1)}) \end{pmatrix} + O(1)\right)(x_0) \\ &= 4\mu^{-1}\Re\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{pmatrix} i\partial_1 s^{(1)} + \partial_2 s^{(1)} \\ -\partial_1 s^{(1)} + i\partial_2 s^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1})\right)(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Under the conditions $|\nabla s^{(1)}(x_0)| \leq \sqrt{2}/8$, $|\theta_3(x_0)| \leq 1$, and $s^{(0)}(x_0) = 1$, then we have

$$\Re \frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2} \begin{pmatrix} a+b \\ a-b \end{pmatrix} + \theta_3 \Re \Big(\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|} \begin{pmatrix} a^1+b^1 \\ a^1-b^1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{e^{-i\tilde{\rho}\cdot x}}{|\tilde{\rho}|} \begin{pmatrix} a^2+b^2 \\ a^2-b^2 \end{pmatrix} \Big)(x_0)$$

$$\sim \mu^{-1} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} + \theta_3 \Re \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4}{\sqrt{2}}(i\partial_1 s^{(1)} + \partial_2 s^{(1)}) \\ \frac{4}{\sqrt{2}}(-\partial_1 s^{(1)} + i\partial_2 s^{(1)}) \end{pmatrix} \right)(x_0) \neq 0.$$

Combining (12), (13) and the asymptotic result above, we have

$$\beta_1(x_0) \sim \mu^{-1} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} + \theta_3 \Re \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4}{\sqrt{2}}(i\partial_1 s^{(1)} + \partial_2 s^{(1)}) \\ \frac{4}{\sqrt{2}}(-\partial_1 s^{(1)} + i\partial_2 s^{(1)}) \end{pmatrix} \right)(x_0) \neq 0.$$

Similarly,

$$\beta_2(x_0) \sim \mu^{-1} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + \vartheta_3 \Re \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4}{\sqrt{2}}(i\partial_1 s^{(1)} + \partial_2 s^{(1)}) \\ \frac{4}{\sqrt{2}}(-\partial_1 s^{(1)} + i\partial_2 s^{(1)}) \end{pmatrix} \right)(x_0) \neq 0.$$

By continuity of β_j, the result follows. □

Based on the above lemma, we may suppose that β_j is not zero in the neighborhood of x_0, say V. Moreover, with the suitable chosen CGO solution u, these two functions θ_j and ϑ_j could be small enough such that β_1 and β_2 are linearly independent in V as τ sufficiently large. Then we have the following equation

$$\beta_j \cdot \nabla\mu + \gamma_j \mu = -\omega^2 \tilde{u}_j, \qquad j = 1, 2, \tag{14}$$

with $\{\beta_j(x)\}_{j=1,2}$ a basis in $\mathbb{R}^2$ for every point $x \in V$.

Thus, there exists an invertible matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ such that $\beta_j = \sum a_{jk} e_k$ with inverse of class $C^0(\Omega)$. Thus, we have constructed two vector-valued functions $\Gamma(x)$, $\Phi(x) \in (C^0(\Omega))^2$ such that (14) can be rewritten as

$$\nabla\mu + \Gamma(x)\mu = \Phi(x) \qquad \text{in } V. \tag{15}$$

Let $\Omega_0 = U \cap V \cap \Omega$ and $H_\rho = (u_\rho^{(0)}, u_\rho^{(1)}, u_\rho^{(2)})$. Then we have the following theorem.

Denote that H_ρ and $\tilde{H}_\rho$ are two sets of internal data of the elasticity system with parameters (λ, μ) and $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu})$, respectively.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that (λ, μ) and $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}) \in \mathcal{P}$. Then, for any fixed $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$, there exists an open neighborhood Ω_0 of x_0 in B_R such that we have the uniquely local reconstruction of μ and the local stability of μ in Ω_0. More precisely, we have the estimate*

$$\|\mu - \tilde{\mu}\|_{C^0(\Omega_0)} \leq C \left(|\mu(x_0) - \tilde{\mu}(x_0)| + \|H_\rho - \tilde{H}_\rho\|_{C^2(\Omega_0)} \right). \tag{16}$$

Proof. In the construction of CGO solutions, we take $\overline{\Omega} \subset B_R$. By the argument above, the domain Ω_0 can be taken as a small open ball with center x_0 such that $\Omega_0 \subset B_R$. We want to solve the over-determined problem

$$\begin{cases} \nabla\mu + \Gamma(x)\mu = \Phi(x) & \text{in } \Omega_0, \\ \mu(x_0) = \mu(x_0), & x_0 \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Let $x \in \Omega_0$ be an arbitrary point and $\psi(t) = (1-t)x_0 + tx,\ t \in [0,1]$, be the curve connecting point x_0 and x. Restricted to this curve, then we have the uniqueness solution

$$\mu(\psi(t)) = \mu(\psi(0))e^{-\int_0^t \Gamma(\psi(s))\cdot\psi'(s)ds} + \int_0^t \Phi(\psi(s))\cdot\psi'(s)ds, \qquad t \in [0,1].$$

Similarly, $\tilde{\mu}$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \nabla\tilde{\mu} + \tilde{\Gamma}(x)\mu = \tilde{\Phi}(x) & \text{in } \Omega_0, \\ \tilde{\mu}(x_0) = \tilde{\mu}(x_0), & x_0 \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Restricted to the same curve, it can be expressed as

$$\tilde{\mu}(\psi(t)) = \tilde{\mu}(\psi(0))e^{-\int_0^t \tilde{\Gamma}(\psi(s))\cdot\psi'(s)ds} + \int_0^t \tilde{\Phi}(\psi(s))\cdot\psi'(s)ds, \qquad t \in [0,1].$$

Thus, for all $x \in \Omega_0$, the difference between μ and $\tilde{\mu}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu(x) - \tilde{\mu}(x)| \leq & |\mu(x_0) - \tilde{\mu}(x_0)||e^{-\int_0^t \Gamma(\psi(s))\cdot\psi'(s)ds}| \\ & + |\tilde{\mu}(x_0)||e^{-\int_0^t \Gamma(\psi(s))\cdot\psi'(s)ds} - e^{-\int_0^t \tilde{\Gamma}(\psi(s))\cdot\psi'(s)ds}| \\ & + |\int_0^t \Phi(\psi(s))\cdot\psi'(s)ds - \int_0^t \tilde{\Phi}(\psi(s))\cdot\psi'(s)ds|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu,\ \tilde{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\Gamma,\ \Phi \in (C^0(\Omega))^2$, there is a constant C such that

$$\|\mu - \tilde{\mu}\|_{C^0(\Omega_0)} \leq C\left(|\mu(x_0) - \tilde{\mu}(x_0)| + \|H_\rho - \tilde{H}_\rho\|_{C^2(\Omega_0)}\right)$$

□

Note that, for any $x_0 \in \Omega$, we still have the local stability of μ. The reason is that we can still construct three linearly independent vectors near the point x_0 such that the first term of (6) is eliminated. Then we follow the similar idea as in Section 3.1. There exists an open neighborhood B_{x_0} of x_0 and a boundary point $x_0^+ \in \partial B_{x_0}$. We will get that

$$\|\mu - \tilde{\mu}\|_{C^0(B_{x_0})} \leq C\left(|\mu(x_0^+) - \tilde{\mu}(x_0^+)| + \|H_\rho - \tilde{H}_\rho\|_{C^2(B_{x_0})}\right), \tag{17}$$

where B_{x_0} is some open neighborhood of x_0.

3.2. **Global reconstruction of μ in 2D.** In the following, we will prove that given boundary data, there is an open set of these given boundary data such that the stability of μ still holds. That is, the small perturbation of the boundary data will not change the stability of μ.

Let $g \in H^2(\Omega)$. We consider the following boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot (\lambda(\nabla \cdot u)I + 2S(\nabla u)\mu) + \omega^2 u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

Then it is suffices to study the problem

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot (\lambda(\nabla \cdot u)I + 2S(\nabla u)\mu) + \omega^2 u = -F & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

where $F \in L^2(\Omega)$. Let

$$B(u,v) = \int_\Omega \lambda(\nabla \cdot u)\overline{(\nabla \cdot v)} + 2\mu S(\nabla u) : \overline{S(\nabla v)} - \omega^2 u \cdot \overline{v} dx \tag{20}$$

for $u, v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Then B is a continuous sesquilinear form on Banach space $H_0^1(\Omega)$. Here $A : B = \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 A_{ij}B_{ij}$. For $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, from (19), we have

$$B(u,v) = \int_\Omega \lambda(\nabla \cdot u)\overline{(\nabla \cdot v)} + 2\mu S(\nabla u) : \overline{S(\nabla v)} - \omega^2 u \cdot \overline{v} dx \tag{21}$$

$$= \int_\Omega F \cdot \overline{v} dx, \qquad \text{for all } v \in H_0^1(\Omega). \tag{22}$$

Since $\lambda > 0$ and $\mu > 0$ and by Korn's inequality, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} B(u,u) = & \int_\Omega \lambda(\nabla \cdot u)\overline{(\nabla \cdot u)} + 2\mu S(\nabla u) : \overline{S(\nabla u)} - \omega^2 u \cdot \overline{u} dx \\ \geq & \int_\Omega 2\mu S(\nabla u) : \overline{S(\nabla u)} - \omega^2 u \cdot \overline{u} dx \\ \geq & (2c\mu - \omega^2)\|u\|^2_{H_0^1(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

If ω is small, then the constant $2c\mu - \omega^2$ can be positive. This implies that $B(u,v)$ is coercive on $H_0^1(\Omega)$. By Lax-Milgram theorem, there exists unique solution $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$B(u,v) = (F,v) \qquad \text{for all } v \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Hence, we obtain the weak solution of (19). Let m be an nonnegative integer. Applying regularity theorem, if $F \in H^m(\Omega)$, then the weak solution $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ is in the space $H^{m+2}(\Omega)$. Moreover, we have the estimate

$$\|u\|_{H^{m+2}(\Omega)} \leq C\|F\|_{H^m(\Omega)}.$$

If we let the boundary data $g \in H^4(\Omega)$, based on the argument above, there exists a solution $u \in H^4(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot (\lambda(\nabla \cdot u)I + 2S(\nabla u)\mu) + \omega^2 u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

By Sobolev embedding theorem, $H^k(\Omega) \subseteq C^m(\Omega),\ k > m+n/2$, we can deduce that both g and u are in $C^2(\Omega)$.

Now, we have CGO solutions $u_\rho^{(j)},\ j = 0, 1, 2$. Let $g_\rho^{(j)} = u_\rho^{(j)}|_{\partial\Omega}$ be the given boundary data. By Lemma 2.1, since $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathcal{P}$, we knew that $u_\rho^{(j)} \in H^4$. Let $g^{(j)} \in H^4(\Omega)$ be the boundary data close to $g_\rho^{(j)}$, that is,

$$\|g^{(j)} - g_\rho^{(j)}\|_{H^4(\partial\Omega)} < \varepsilon \quad \text{for some } \varepsilon > 0,$$

then we can find solutions $u^{(j)}$ of the elasticity system with boundary data $g^{(j)}$. By elliptic regularity theorem and Sobolev embedding theorem, we have

$$\|u^{(j)} - u_\rho^{(j)}\|_{C^2(\Omega)} < C\varepsilon \tag{23}$$

for some constant C which is independent of λ, μ. Then we obtain that

$$\|v^{(j)} - v_\rho^{(j)}\|_{C^0(\Omega)} < C\varepsilon,$$

where $v^{(j)}$ and $v_\rho^{(j)}$ are the form of (9). Moreover, for $j = 1, 4$, we have

$$\|\Re\mathfrak{u}_j - \Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^{\mathfrak{j}}\|_{C^0(\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon$$

and

$$\|\Im\mathfrak{u}_1 - \Im\mathfrak{u}_\rho^{\mathfrak{1}}\|_{C^0(\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon.$$

Therefore, $\Re\mathfrak{u}_1$, $\Im\mathfrak{u}_1$, and $\Re\mathfrak{u}_4$ are also linearly independent when ε is sufficiently small.

Using the discussion above and Theorem 3.2, we have the following local stability result.

Lemma 3.3. *(Local stability of μ) Let Ω be an open bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^2$ with smooth boundary. Suppose that (λ, μ) and $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}) \in \mathcal{P}$. Then, for any fixed $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$, there exists an open neighborhood Ω_0 of x_0 in B_R and an open set of the given boundary data $(g_\rho^{(j)})_{0 \leq j \leq 2}$ such that we have the uniquely local reconstruction of μ and the local stability of μ in Ω_0. More precisely, we have the estimate*

$$\|\mu - \tilde{\mu}\|_{C^0(\Omega_0 \cap \Omega)} \leq C \left(|\mu(x_0) - \tilde{\mu}(x_0)| + \|H - \tilde{H}\|_{C^2(\Omega_0)} \right). \tag{24}$$

Note that in Lemma 3.3, $H = (u^{(0)}, u^{(1)}, u^{(2)})$ and $\tilde{H} = (\tilde{u}^{(0)}, \tilde{u}^{(1)}, \tilde{u}^{(2)})$.

For any point $x_0 \in \Omega$, Lemma 3.3 still holds in the following sense.

$$\|\mu - \tilde{\mu}\|_{C^0(B_{x_0})} \leq C \left(|\mu(x_0^+) - \tilde{\mu}(x_0^+)| + \|H_{x_0} - \tilde{H}_{x_0}\|_{C^2(B_{x_0})} \right), \qquad x_0^+ \in \partial B_{x_0}, \tag{25}$$

where B_{x_0} some open neighborhood of x_0 in Ω. The two internal data H_{x_0} and $\tilde{H}_{x_0}$ are dependent on the point x_0.

The global stability result is stated as follows.

Theorem 3.4. *(Global reconstruction of μ) Let Ω be an open bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^2$ with smooth boundary. Suppose that the Lamé parameters (λ, μ) and $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}) \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\mu|_{\partial\Omega} = \tilde{\mu}|_{\partial\Omega}$. Then there is an open set of the given boundary data such that the uniqueness and global stability of μ hold.*

Note that for the uniqueness of μ, we suppose that the two set of internal data are the same, that is, $H = \tilde{H}$. Since μ is uniquely reconstructed near a fixed point $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ under the condition $\mu|_{\partial\Omega} = \tilde{\mu}|_{\partial\Omega}$, from the stability of μ in Ω, we can obtain that $\mu = \tilde{\mu}$ in Ω.

Proof. In section 2, we constructed CGO solutions in a ball B_R which contains $\overline{\Omega}$. First, we consider any point x in $\partial\Omega$. Then we can find an open neighborhood $B_x \subset B_R$ of x. By Lemma 3.3, we have the estimate

$$\|\mu - \tilde{\mu}\|_{C^0(B_x \cap \Omega)} \leq C\|H_x - \tilde{H}_x\|_{C^2(\Omega)} \tag{26}$$

since $\mu|_{\partial\Omega} = \tilde{\mu}|_{\partial\Omega}$.

Second, for any point $y \in \Omega$, since the local stability of Lemma 3.3 is still true when x_0 is in Ω, there exists an open neighborhood $B_y \subset \Omega$ with $\overline{B}_y \cap \partial\Omega = \emptyset$ such that

$$\|\mu - \tilde{\mu}\|_{C^0(B_y)} \leq C\left(|\mu(y^+) - \tilde{\mu}(y^+)| + \|H_y - \tilde{H}_y\|_{C^2(\Omega)}\right) \quad \text{for some } y^+ \in \partial B_y. \tag{27}$$

Therefore, the compact set $\overline{\Omega}$ is covered by $\bigcup_{x\in\overline{\Omega}} B_x$. Then there exists finitely many B_x, say, $B_{x_1}, \ldots, B_{x_N}$, such that $\overline{\Omega} \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{N} B_{x_k}$.

Now for arbitrary point $z \in \Omega$, since $\overline{\Omega} \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{N} B_{x_k}$, there is an B_{x_j} such that $z \in B_{x_j}$. Suppose that $\overline{B}_{x_j} \cap \partial\Omega \neq \emptyset$, this means that B_{x_j} is a neighborhood of boundary point x_j. Then, by (26), we have

$$|\mu(z) - \tilde{\mu}(z)| \leq C\|H_{x_j} - \tilde{H}_{x_j}\|_{C^2(\Omega)}. \tag{28}$$

Otherwise, if $\overline{B}_{x_j} \cap \partial\Omega$ is empty, then, by (27), we get that

$$|\mu(z) - \tilde{\mu}(z)| \leq C\left(|\mu(x_j^+) - \tilde{\mu}(x_j^+)| + \|H_{x_j} - \tilde{H}_{x_j}\|_{C^2(\Omega)}\right) \quad \text{for } x_j^+ \in \partial B_{x_j}. \tag{29}$$

For the point x_j^+, since $\overline{\Omega}$ is covered by finitely many subdomain B_{x_k}, after at most $N-1$ steps, we have

$$|\mu(x_j^+) - \tilde{\mu}(x_j^+)| \leq C \sum_{k\neq j, k=1}^{N} \|H_{x_k} - \tilde{H}_{x_k}\|_{C^2(\Omega)}. \tag{30}$$

Combing (29) and (30). Then we have

$$|\mu(z) - \tilde{\mu}(z)| \leq C\sum_{k=1}^{N} \|H_{x_k} - \tilde{H}_{x_k}\|_{C^2(\Omega)}. \tag{31}$$

Therefore, we have the global stability

$$\|\mu - \tilde{\mu}\|_{C^0(\Omega)} \leq C\sum_{k=1}^{N} \|H_{x_k} - \tilde{H}_{x_k}\|_{C^2(\Omega)}. \tag{32}$$

□

3.3. **Global reconstruction of λ in 2D.** The elasticity system can also be written in this form

(33)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nabla\cdot u \\ \nabla\cdot u \\ a+b \\ a-b \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1(\lambda+\mu) \\ \partial_2(\lambda+\mu) \\ \partial_1\mu \\ \partial_2\mu \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1(\nabla\cdot u) \\ \partial_2(\nabla\cdot u) \\ \partial_1(a+b) \\ \partial_2(a-b) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \lambda+\mu \\ \lambda+\mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} = -\omega^2(u_1+u_2).$$

As in the reconstruction of μ, we will construct CGO solutions such that the first term of the equation (33) can be eliminated.

Plugging the CGO solution $u_\rho = \mu^{-1/2}w_\rho + \mu^{-1}\nabla f_\rho - f_\rho\nabla\mu^{-1}$ into

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla\cdot u \\ \nabla\cdot u \\ a+b \\ a-b \end{pmatrix},$$

we get

$$v_\rho = ie^{i\rho\cdot x}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \mu^{-1/2}\rho\cdot r + 2\mu^{-1}\rho\cdot\nabla s \\ \mu^{-1/2}\rho\cdot r + 2\mu^{-1}\rho\cdot\nabla s \\ 2\mu^{-1}(is(\rho_1^2+\rho_1\rho_2)+\omega_1\cdot\nabla s)+\mu^{-1/2}\omega_1\cdot r \\ 2\mu^{-1}(is(\rho_1\rho_2-\rho_1^2)+\omega_2\cdot\nabla s)+\mu^{-1/2}\omega_2\cdot r \end{pmatrix} + O(1)\right),$$

where $\omega_1 = (\rho_1+\rho_2, \rho_1-\rho_2)$ and $\omega_2 = (\rho_2-\rho_1, \rho_1+\rho_2)$.

Let x_0 be a point in $\partial\Omega$. Denote that $\rho = \tau(e_1+ie_2)$ and $\tilde\rho = i\rho$.

We choose a constant vector $g^{(0)}$ such that $C_0(x,\theta)g^{(0)} = (r^{(0)}, s^{(0)})$ with

$$s^{(0)} \neq 0, \quad \rho\cdot r^{(0)} \neq 0, \quad \rho\cdot r^{(0)}(x_0) = 1$$

in a neighborhood of x_0, U. Then we get the CGO solution of the elasticity system

$$u_\rho^{(0)} = \mu^{-1/2}w_\rho^{(0)} + \mu^{-1}\nabla f_\rho^{(0)} - f_\rho^{(0)}\nabla\mu^{-1}$$

with

$$\begin{pmatrix} w_\rho^{(0)} \\ f_\rho^{(0)} \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\rho\cdot x}\left(\begin{pmatrix} r^{(0)} \\ s^{(0)} \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1})\right).$$

We choose another constant vector $g^{(1)}$ such that $C_1(x,\theta)g^{(1)} = (r^{(1)}, s^{(1)})$ with

$$s^{(1)} \neq 0 \text{ in } U \text{ and } \rho\cdot r^{(1)}(x_0) = 0.$$

Then we get the CGO solution of the elasticity system

$$u_\rho^{(1)} = \mu^{-1/2}w_\rho^{(1)} + \mu^{-1}\nabla f_\rho^{(1)} - f_\rho^{(1)}\nabla\mu^{-1}$$

with

$$\begin{pmatrix} w_\rho^{(1)} \\ f_\rho^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\rho\cdot x}\left(\begin{pmatrix} r^{(1)} \\ s^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1})\right).$$

For $k = 2, 3$, we choose a constant vector $g^{(k)}$ such that $C_k(x,\theta)g^{(k)} = (r^{(k)}, s^{(k)})$ with

$$\rho \cdot r^{(k)} \neq 0$$

in U. Here we can choose

$$r^{(2)} = r^{(3)},\ s^{(2)} = s^{(3)}$$

by taking $g^{(2)} = g^{(3)}$ and $C_2(x,\theta) = C_3(x,\tilde{\theta})$. Then we get the CGO solution of the elasticity system

$$u_\rho^{(k)} = \mu^{-1/2} w_\rho^{(k)} + \mu^{-1}\nabla f_\rho^{(k)} - f_\rho^{(k)}\nabla\mu^{-1}$$

with

$$\begin{pmatrix} w_\rho^{(2)} \\ f_\rho^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\rho\cdot x}\left(\begin{pmatrix} r^{(2)} \\ s^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1})\right),\ \begin{pmatrix} w_\rho^{(3)} \\ f_\rho^{(3)} \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\tilde{\rho}\cdot x}\left(\begin{pmatrix} r^{(3)} \\ s^{(3)} \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1})\right).$$

Then we have that

$$\mathfrak{u}_\rho^1 := -ie^{-i\rho\cdot x}|\rho|^{-2}v_\rho^1 = 2i\mu^{-1}s\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1+i \\ i-1 \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1}).$$

For $k = 2, 3$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{u}_\rho^2 :=& -ie^{-i\rho\cdot x}|\rho|^{-1}v_\rho^2 \\ =&\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}(1,i)\cdot r^{(2)} \\ \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}(1,i)\cdot r^{(2)} \\ 2\mu^{-1}\tau(1+i)is^{(2)} + \nu^{(2)} \\ 2\mu^{-1}\tau(i-1)is^{(2)} + i\nu^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1}),\end{aligned}$$

where $\nu^{(2)} = 2\mu^{-1}(1+i, 1-i)\cdot\nabla s^{(2)} + \mu^{-1/2}(1+i,1-i)\cdot r^{(2)}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{u}_\rho^3 :=& -ie^{-i\tilde{\rho}\cdot x}|\tilde{\rho}|^{-1}v_\rho^3 \\ =&\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}(i,-1)\cdot r^{(3)} \\ \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}(i,-1)\cdot r^{(3)} \\ 2\mu^{-1}\tau(-1-i)is^{(3)} + \nu^{(3)} \\ 2\mu^{-1}\tau(1-i)is^{(3)} + i\nu^{(3)} \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1}),\end{aligned}$$

where $\nu^{(3)} = 2\mu^{-1}(-1+i, i+1)\cdot\nabla s^{(3)} + \mu^{-1/2}(-1+i,i+1)\cdot r^{(3)}$. Let $\mathfrak{u}_\rho^4 = \mathfrak{u}_\rho^2 + \mathfrak{u}_\rho^3$. Then the higher order is eliminated. Thus we have

$$\mathfrak{u}_\rho^4 = \mathfrak{u}_\rho^2 + \mathfrak{u}_\rho^3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}(1+i,i-1)\cdot r^{(3)} \\ \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}(1+i,i-1)\cdot r^{(3)} \\ 2\mu^{-1}(2i,2)\cdot\nabla s^{(2)} + \mu^{-1/2}(2i,2)\cdot r^{(3)} \\ 2\mu^{-1}(-2,2i)\cdot\nabla s^{(3)} + \mu^{-1/2}(-2,2i)\cdot r^{(3)} \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1}).$$

From above, we have constructed three linear independent vectors $\Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^1$, $\Im\mathfrak{u}_\rho^1$, and $\Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^4$ in U as τ is sufficiently large. Therefore, there are three functions

$\theta_k,\ k=1,2,3$, such that $\Re e^{-i\rho\cdot x}v_\rho^0/|\rho|^2+\theta_1\Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^1+\theta_2\Im\mathfrak{u}_\rho^1+\theta_3\Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^4=0$. Summing over j, we get the following equation

$$\begin{pmatrix}\lambda+\mu\\ \lambda+\mu\\ \mu\\ \mu\end{pmatrix}\cdot\left(\mathfrak{v}_\rho^{\circ}+\theta_1\Re\mathfrak{v}_\rho^1+\theta_2\Im\mathfrak{v}_\rho^1+\theta_3\Re\mathfrak{v}_\rho^4\right)=-\omega^2\tilde{u}, \tag{34}$$

where

$$\tilde{u}=\Re\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{i|\rho|^2}u^{(0)*}+\theta_1\Re\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{i|\rho|^2}u^{(1)*}+\theta_2\Im\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{i|\rho|^2}u^{(1)*}+\theta_3\Re\left(\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{i|\rho|}u^{(2)*}+\frac{e^{-i\tilde{\rho}\cdot x}}{i|\tilde{\rho}|}u^{(3)*}\right)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{v}_\rho^{\circ}=\Re\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{i|\rho|^2}\begin{pmatrix}\partial_1(\nabla\cdot u_\rho^{(0)})\\ \partial_2(\nabla\cdot u_\rho^{(0)})\\ \partial_1(a^0+b^0)\\ \partial_2(a^0-b^0)\end{pmatrix},\quad \mathfrak{v}_\rho^1=\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{i|\rho|^2}\begin{pmatrix}\partial_1(\nabla\cdot u_\rho^{(1)})\\ \partial_2(\nabla\cdot u_\rho^{(1)})\\ \partial_1(a^1+b^1)\\ \partial_2(a^1-b^1)\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathfrak{v}_\rho^4=\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{i|\rho|}\begin{pmatrix}\partial_1(\nabla\cdot u_\rho^{(2)})\\ \partial_2(\nabla\cdot u_\rho^{(2)})\\ \partial_1(a^2+b^2)\\ \partial_2(a^2-b^2)\end{pmatrix}+\frac{e^{-i\tilde{\rho}\cdot x}}{i|\tilde{\rho}|}\begin{pmatrix}\partial_1(\nabla\cdot u_\rho^{(3)})\\ \partial_2(\nabla\cdot u_\rho^{(3)})\\ \partial_1(a^3+b^3)\\ \partial_2(a^3-b^3)\end{pmatrix}.$$

We obtain that

$$\kappa\lambda=\sigma\mu-\omega^2\tilde{u}, \tag{35}$$

where

$$\kappa=(1,1,0,0)\cdot(\mathfrak{v}_\rho^{\circ}+\theta_1\Re\mathfrak{v}_\rho^1+\theta_2\Im\mathfrak{v}_\rho^1+\theta_3\Re\mathfrak{v}_\rho^4),$$
$$\sigma=-(1,1,1,1)\cdot(\mathfrak{v}_\rho^{\circ}+\theta_1\Re\mathfrak{v}_\rho^1+\theta_2\Im\mathfrak{v}_\rho^1+\theta_3\Re\mathfrak{v}_\rho^4).$$

Lemma 3.5. *κ does not vanish in some neighborhood of x_0.*

Proof. Similar argument as Lemma 3.1. From the construction, we have known that $\theta_j\sim\tau^0$ and $\rho\cdot r^{(1)}(x_0)=0$. Then

$$(1,1,0,0)\cdot\theta_1\Re\mathfrak{v}_\rho^1\sim\tau^{-1},\quad (1,1,0,0)\cdot\theta_2\Im\mathfrak{v}_\rho^1\sim\tau^{-1}. \tag{36}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}&(1,1,0,0)\cdot\Re\mathfrak{v}_\rho^4\\ =&\Re\left(\begin{pmatrix}1\\1\end{pmatrix}\cdot\left(\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{i|\rho|}\begin{pmatrix}\partial_1(\nabla\cdot u^{(2)})\\ \partial_2(\nabla\cdot u^{(2)})\end{pmatrix}+\frac{e^{-i\tilde{\rho}\cdot x}}{i|\tilde{\rho}|}\begin{pmatrix}\partial_1(\nabla\cdot u^{(3)})\\ \partial_2(\nabla\cdot u^{(3)})\end{pmatrix}\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

The $O(\tau)$ term of $(1,1,0,0)\cdot\Re\mathfrak{v}_\rho^4$ is

$$\frac{1}{i\sqrt{2}\tau}\left(-\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}(\rho_1^{(2)}+\rho_2^{(2)})\rho^{(2)}\cdot r^{(2)}-\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}(\rho_1^{(3)}+\rho_2^{(3)})\rho^{(3)}\cdot r^{(3)}\right)=0$$

since $r^{(2)}=r^{(3)}$. Thus the leading order term of $(1,1,0,0)\cdot\Re\mathfrak{v}_\rho^4$ is $O(1)$, that is,

$$\varphi = \varphi^{(2)} + \varphi^{(3)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{(k)} =& \frac{1}{i\sqrt{2}\tau}\Big(\mu^{-1/2}(i\rho_2^{(k)}\partial_1 r_1^{(k)} + i\rho_1^{(k)}\partial_2 r_2^{(k)} + i\rho_1^{(k)}\partial_2 r_1^{(k)} + i\rho_2^{(k)}\partial_1 r_2^{(k)} \\
&+ 2i\rho\cdot\nabla r^{(k)}) + \mu^{-1}(2i\rho\cdot(\nabla(\partial_1 s^{(k)}) + \nabla(\partial_2 s^{(k)})) + i(\rho_1+\rho_2)\Delta s^{(k)}) \\
&+ 2i\partial_1\mu^{-1}\rho^{(k)}\cdot\nabla s^{(k)} - \partial_{11}\mu^{-1} i(\rho_1^{(k)} + \rho_2^{(k)})s^{(k)} \\
&+ 2i\partial_2\mu^{-1}\rho^{(k)}\cdot\nabla s^{(k)} - \partial_{22}\mu^{-1} i(\rho_1^{(k)} + \rho_2^{(k)})s^{(k)} \\
&+ \partial_1\mu^{-1/2}(i\rho_2^{(k)} r_1^{(k)} + i\rho_2^{(k)} r_2^{(k)} + 2i\rho_1^{(k)} r_1^{(k)}) \\
&+ \partial_2\mu^{-1/2}(i\rho_1^{(k)} r_1^{(k)} + i\rho_1^{(k)} r_2^{(k)} + 2i\rho_2^{(k)} r_2^{(k)})\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(1,1,0,0)\cdot\mathfrak{v}_\rho^{\mathrm{o}}(x_0) = \Re\begin{pmatrix}1\\1\end{pmatrix}\cdot\frac{-ie^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}\begin{pmatrix}\partial_1(\nabla\cdot u)\\ \partial_2(\nabla\cdot u)\end{pmatrix}(x_0) = \frac{-\sqrt{\mu}}{2(\lambda+2\mu)} + O(\tau^{-1})$$

and (36), we get that

$$\kappa(x_0) = (1,1,0,0)\cdot(\mathfrak{v}_\rho^{\mathrm{o}} + \theta_1\Re\mathfrak{v}_\rho^1 + \theta_2\Im\mathfrak{v}_\rho^1 + \theta_3\Re\mathfrak{v}_\rho^4)(x_0) \tag{37}$$

$$= (1,1,0,0)\cdot\mathfrak{v}_\rho^0(x_0) + (1,1,0,0)\cdot\theta_3\Re\mathfrak{v}_\rho^4(x_0) + O(\tau^{-1}) \tag{38}$$

$$\sim \frac{-\sqrt{\mu}}{2(\lambda+2\mu)} + \theta_3(x_0)\varphi(x_0). \tag{39}$$

Since $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$, $\varphi(x_0)$ is some fixed number. Moreover, we can take $s^{(0)}$ small such that $|\theta_3(x_0)|$ to be sufficiently small, then we can obtain that $\frac{-\sqrt{\mu}}{2(\lambda+2\mu)} + \theta_3(x_0)\varphi(x_0) \neq 0$. By continuity of κ, there exists a neighborhood V such that κ never vanishes in V. $\square$

Let $\Omega_0 = U \cap V \cap \Omega$. We have $\Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^1$, $\Im\mathfrak{u}_\rho^1$, and $\Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^4$ are linearly independent in Ω_0 as τ is sufficiently large and also κ does not vanish in Ω_0. Then it follows that

$$\lambda = \frac{\sigma\mu}{\kappa} - \omega^2\frac{\tilde{u}}{\kappa} \qquad \text{in } \Omega_0. \tag{40}$$

In the following theorem, the internal data H_ρ contains all CGO solutions we constructed in Section 3.

Theorem 3.6. *Suppose that the Lamé parameters (λ,μ) and $(\tilde{\lambda},\tilde{\mu}) \in \mathcal{P}$. Then, for any fixed $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$, there exists an open neighborhood Ω_0 of x_0 in B_R such that we have the local reconstruction of λ and the local stability of λ in Ω_0. More precisely, we have the estimate*

$$\|\lambda - \tilde{\lambda}\|_{C^0(\Omega_0)} \leq C\left(|\mu(x_0) - \tilde{\mu}(x_0)| + \|H_\rho - \tilde{H}_\rho\|_{C^2(\Omega_0)}\right). \tag{41}$$

Proof. By theorem 3.2 and equation (40), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\lambda-\tilde{\lambda}\|_{C^0(\Omega_0)} \leq & C\left(\|\mu-\tilde{\mu}\|_{C^0(\Omega_0)}+\|H_\rho-\tilde{H}_\rho\|_{C^2(\Omega_0)}\right)\\ \leq & C\left(|\mu(x_0)-\tilde{\mu}(x_0)|+\|H_\rho-\tilde{H}_\rho\|_{C^2(\Omega_0)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

□

With (40) and the local stability result, Theorem 3.6, we follow the same proof as in Section 3.2. Then we can get the following global reconstruction of λ.

Theorem 3.7. *Let Ω be an open bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^2$ with smooth boundary. Suppose that the Lamé parameters (λ,μ) and $(\tilde{\lambda},\tilde{\mu})\in\mathcal{P}$ and $\mu|_{\partial\Omega}=\tilde{\mu}|_{\partial\Omega}$. Then there is an open set of the given boundary data such that the uniqueness and the global stability of λ hold.*

4. RECONSTRUCTION OF LAMÉ PARAMETER IN THREE-DIMENSIONAL CASE

In the three-dimensional case, the reconstruction of λ and μ basically follows the same idea as in two-dimensional case. The difference between these two cases is we will need more CGO solutions in three dimension in order to get four linearly independent vectors locally.

4.1. Global reconstruction of μ in 3D.

Let $u=(u_1,u_2,u_3)^T$ be the displacement which satisfies the elasticity system

$$\nabla\cdot(\lambda(\nabla\cdot u)I+2S(\nabla u)\mu)+\omega^2 u=0. \tag{42}$$

From (42), we can deduce the following equation.

$$\begin{pmatrix}\partial_1(\nabla\cdot u)\\ \partial_2(\nabla\cdot u)\\ \partial_3(\nabla\cdot u)\\ \nabla\cdot u\\ \nabla\cdot u\\ \nabla\cdot u\end{pmatrix}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}\lambda+\mu\\ \lambda+\mu\\ \lambda+\mu\\ \partial_1(\lambda+\mu)\\ \partial_2(\lambda+\mu)\\ \partial_3(\lambda+\mu)\end{pmatrix}+\begin{pmatrix}b_{23}\\ b_{13}\\ b_{12}\\ \partial_1(b_{23})\\ \partial_2(b_{13})\\ \partial_3(b_{12})\end{pmatrix}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}\partial_1\mu\\ \partial_2\mu\\ \partial_3\mu\\ \mu\\ \mu\\ \mu\end{pmatrix}=-\omega^2 u^*, \tag{43}$$

where $b_{ij}=\partial_k u_k-\partial_i u_i-\partial_j u_j+\partial_i u_k+\partial_k u_i+\partial_j u_k+\partial_k u_j$ with $k,i,j\in\{1,2,3\}$ are distinct numbers. Here $u^*=(u_1+u_2+u_3)$.

In the following we will show that how we can get four linearly independent vectors of the form v on some subdomain of X. The key thing is to observe the behavior of v. We plug the CGO solutions $u_\rho=\mu^{-1/2}w_\rho+\mu^{-1}\nabla f_\rho-f_\rho\nabla\mu^{-1}$ into v_ρ. Then we get

$$v_\rho=e^{i\rho\cdot x}\left(\begin{pmatrix}-\mu^{-1/2}\rho_1(\rho\cdot r)-2\mu^{-1}\rho_1(\rho\cdot\nabla s)+O(|\rho|)\\ -\mu^{-1/2}\rho_2(\rho\cdot r)-2\mu^{-1}\rho_2(\rho\cdot\nabla s)+O(|\rho|)\\ -\mu^{-1/2}\rho_3(\rho\cdot r)-2\mu^{-1}\rho_3(\rho\cdot\nabla s)+O(|\rho|)\\ i\mu^{-1/2}(\rho\cdot r)+2i\mu^{-1}\rho\cdot\nabla s\\ i\mu^{-1/2}(\rho\cdot r)+2i\mu^{-1}\rho\cdot\nabla s\\ i\mu^{-1/2}(\rho\cdot r)+2i\mu^{-1}\rho\cdot\nabla s\end{pmatrix}+O(1)\right). \tag{44}$$

Note that $r = (r_1, r_2, r_3)^T$ and $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3)^T$.

Now we fix any point $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$. Let $\rho = \tau(1, i, 0)$ and $\tilde{\tilde{\rho}} = \tau(1, 0, i)$ with $\tau > 0$. Let $\theta = \rho/\tau$, $\tilde{\tilde{\theta}} = \tilde{\tilde{\rho}}/\tau$. Since, in Lemma 2.1, the matrix solutions $C_0(x, \theta)$ and $\tilde{\tilde{C}}_0(x, \tilde{\tilde{\theta}})$ are invertible, we can choose two constant vectors $g^{(0)}$ and $\tilde{\tilde{g}}^{(0)}$ such that $C_0(x, \theta)g^{(0)} = (r^{(0)}, s^{(0)})^T$ and $\tilde{\tilde{C}}_0(x, \tilde{\tilde{\theta}})\tilde{\tilde{g}}^{(0)} = (\tilde{\tilde{r}}^{(0)}, \tilde{\tilde{s}}^{(0)})^T$ with $s^{(0)}(x_0) = 1 = \tilde{\tilde{s}}^{(0)}(x_0)$ and $s^{(0)}$, $\tilde{\tilde{s}}^{(0)} \neq 0$ and $\rho \cdot r^{(0)}$, $\tilde{\tilde{\rho}} \cdot \tilde{\tilde{r}}^{(0)} \neq 0$ in a neighborhood of x_0, say U_0. Then we have the CGO solutions of the elasticity system, that is,

$$u_\rho^{(0)} = \mu^{-1/2} w_\rho^{(0)} + \mu^{-1} \nabla f_\rho^{(0)} - f_\rho^{(0)} \nabla \mu^{-1},$$
$$\tilde{\tilde{u}}_\rho^{(0)} = \mu^{-1/2} \tilde{\tilde{w}}_\rho^{(0)} + \mu^{-1} \nabla \tilde{\tilde{f}}_\rho^{(0)} - \tilde{\tilde{f}}_\rho^{(0)} \nabla \mu^{-1}$$

with

$$\begin{pmatrix} w_\rho^{(0)} \\ f_\rho^{(0)} \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\rho\cdot x}\left(\begin{pmatrix} r^{(0)} \\ s^{(0)} \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1})\right),$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\tilde{w}}_\rho^{(0)} \\ \tilde{\tilde{f}}_\rho^{(0)} \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\tilde{\tilde{\rho}}\cdot x}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\tilde{r}}^{(0)} \\ \tilde{\tilde{s}}^{(0)} \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1})\right).$$

Let $\tilde{\rho} = \tau(i, -1, 0)$ and $\tilde{\theta} = \tilde{\rho}/\tau$. Let $C_1(x, \theta)$ and $C_2(x, \tilde{\theta})$ satisfy that

$$i\theta \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} C_1(x, \theta) = \theta \cdot V_1(x) C_1(x, \theta), \quad i\tilde{\theta} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} C_2(x, \tilde{\theta}) = \tilde{\theta} \cdot V_1(x) C_2(x, \tilde{\theta}),$$

respectively. Since $\tilde{\rho} = i\rho$, we can choose $C_2(x, \tilde{\theta}) = C_1(x, \theta)$. Moreover, $r^{(2)} = r^{(1)}$ and $s^{(2)} = s^{(1)}$. With suitable constant vector g, we can get that $s^{(k)}$ is zero at point x_0 and $r^{(1)}(x_0) = (1, -i, 0) = r^{(2)}(x_0)$. By continuity of $r^{(k)}$, we have $\rho \cdot r^{(k)} \neq 0$ in a neighborhood U_1 of x_0. Then the CGO solution is

$$u_\rho^{(k)} = \mu^{-1/2} w_\rho^{(k)} + \mu^{-1} \nabla f_\rho^{(k)} - f_\rho^{(k)} \nabla \mu^{-1}$$

with

$$\begin{pmatrix} w_\rho^{(1)} \\ f_\rho^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\rho\cdot x}\left(\begin{pmatrix} r^{(1)} \\ s^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1})\right),$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} w_\rho^{(2)} \\ f_\rho^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\tilde{\rho}\cdot x}\left(\begin{pmatrix} r^{(2)} \\ s^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1})\right).$$

For $\tilde{\tilde{\rho}}$, with a suitable constant vector $\tilde{g}$, we can get that $s^{(3)}$ is zero at point x_0 and $r^{(3)}(x_0) = (1, 0, -i)$. By continuity of $r^{(3)}$, we have $\tilde{\tilde{\rho}} \cdot r^{(3)} \neq 0$ in a neighborhood U_2 of x_0. Then the CGO solution is

$$u_\rho^{(3)} = \mu^{-1/2} w_\rho^{(3)} + \mu^{-1} \nabla f_\rho^{(3)} - f_\rho^{(3)} \nabla \mu^{-1}$$

with

$$\begin{pmatrix} w_\rho^{(3)} \\ f_\rho^{(3)} \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\tilde{\tilde{\rho}}\cdot x}\left(\begin{pmatrix} r^{(3)} \\ s^{(3)} \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1})\right).$$

Let $U = \cap_{k=0}^{2} U_k$. So far we have five CGO solutions, that is, $u_\rho^{(0)}$, $\tilde{\tilde{u}}_\rho^{(0)}$, $u_\rho^{(1)}$, $u_\rho^{(2)}$, and $u_\rho^{(3)}$.

Using this equality

$$-2\rho\cdot\nabla s = \frac{\lambda+\mu}{\lambda+2\mu}\mu^{1/2}\rho\cdot r \tag{45}$$

and (44), we obtain that

$$\mathfrak{u}_\rho^1 := e^{-i\rho\cdot x}|\rho|^{-2}v_\rho^1 = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}(r_1^{(1)} + ir_2^{(1)}) \\ -\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}(ir_1^{(1)} - r_2^{(1)}) \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1}),$$

where $r^{(1)} = (r_1^{(1)}, r_2^{(1)}, r_3^{(1)})$. Moreover, at point x_0,

$$\mathfrak{u}_\rho^1(x_0) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2i \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1}).$$

Similarly, we use (44) and (45), then

$$\mathfrak{u}_\rho^2 := e^{-i\rho\cdot x}|\rho|^{-1}v_\rho^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}\tau(r_1^{(1)} + ir_2^{(1)}) + O(1) \\ -\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}\tau(ir_1^{(1)} - r_2^{(1)}) + O(1) \\ 0 \\ i\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}(r_1^{(1)} + ir_2^{(1)}) \\ i\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}(r_1^{(1)} + ir_2^{(1)}) \\ i\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}(r_1^{(1)} + ir_2^{(1)}) \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1})$$

and

$$\mathfrak{u}_\rho^2(x_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}\begin{pmatrix} -2\tau + O(1) \\ -2\tau i + O(1) \\ 0 \\ 2i \\ 2i \\ 2i \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1}).$$

Also, we get that

$$\mathfrak{u}_\rho^3 := e^{-i\tilde{\rho}\cdot x}|\tilde{\rho}|^{-1}v_\rho^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}\tau(-r_1^{(2)} - ir_2^{(2)}) + O(1) \\ -\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}\tau(-ir_1^{(2)} + r_2^{(2)}) + O(1) \\ 0 \\ i\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}(ir_1^{(2)} - r_2^{(2)}) \\ i\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}(ir_1^{(2)} - r_2^{(2)}) \\ i\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}(ir_1^{(2)} - r_2^{(2)}) \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1})$$

and

$$\mathfrak{u}_\rho^3(x_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}\begin{pmatrix} 2\tau + O(1) \\ 2\tau i + O(1) \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ -2 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1}).$$

Let $\mathfrak{u}_\rho^4 = \mathfrak{u}_\rho^2 + \mathfrak{u}_\rho^3$. Since $r^{(2)} = r^{(1)}$ and $s^{(2)} = s^{(1)}$, we have

$$\mathfrak{u}_\rho^4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} O(1) \\ O(1) \\ 0 \\ i\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}((1+i)r_1^{(1)} + (-1+i)r_2^{(1)}) \\ i\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}((1+i)r_1^{(1)} + (-1+i)r_2^{(1)}) \\ i\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}((1+i)r_1^{(1)} + (-1+i)r_2^{(1)}) \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1})$$

and

$$\mathfrak{u}_\rho^4(x_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}\begin{pmatrix} O(1) \\ O(1) \\ 0 \\ 2i-2 \\ 2i-2 \\ 2i-2 \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1}).$$

The last one is

$$\mathfrak{u}_\rho^5 := e^{-i\tilde{\tilde{\rho}}\cdot x}|\rho|^{-2}v_\rho^3 = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}(r_1^{(3)} + ir_3^{(3)}) \\ 0 \\ -\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}(ir_1^{(3)} - r_3^{(3)}) \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1})$$

with

$$\mathfrak{u}_\rho^5(x_0) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 2i \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1}).$$

Then $\Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^1$ and $\Im\mathfrak{u}_\rho^1$, $\Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^4$ and $\Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^5$ are linearly independent in the neighborhood U of x_0 as τ is sufficiently large. Therefore, there exist functions θ_j, $\tilde{\theta}_j$ and ϑ_j, $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, such that

$$\Re e^{-i\rho\cdot x} v_\rho^0/|\rho|^2 + \theta_1 \Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^1 + \theta_2 \Im\mathfrak{u}_\rho^1 + \theta_3 \Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^4 + \theta_4 \Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^5 = 0,$$

$$\Im e^{-i\rho\cdot x} v_\rho^0/|\rho|^2 + \tilde{\theta}_1 \Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^1 + \tilde{\theta}_2 \Im\mathfrak{u}_\rho^1 + \tilde{\theta}_3 \Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^4 + \tilde{\theta}_4 \Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^5 = 0$$

and

$$\Re e^{-i\tilde{\tilde{\rho}}\cdot x} \tilde{\tilde{v}}_\rho^0/|\rho|^2 + \vartheta_1 \Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^1 + \vartheta_2 \Im\mathfrak{u}_\rho^1 + \vartheta_3 \Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^4 + \vartheta_4 \Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^5 = 0,$$

respectively.

Summing over j, we have the following equations

$$\beta_i \cdot \nabla\mu + \gamma_i \mu = -\omega^2 \tilde{u}_i \qquad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_1 =& \Re\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}\begin{pmatrix} b_{23}^0 \\ b_{13}^0 \\ b_{12}^0 \end{pmatrix} + \theta_1 \Re\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}\begin{pmatrix} b_{23}^1 \\ b_{13}^1 \\ b_{12}^1 \end{pmatrix} + \theta_2 \Im\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}\begin{pmatrix} b_{23}^1 \\ b_{13}^1 \\ b_{12}^1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \theta_3 \Re\left(\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|}\begin{pmatrix} b_{23}^1 \\ b_{13}^1 \\ b_{12}^1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{e^{-i\tilde{\rho}\cdot x}}{|\tilde{\rho}|}\begin{pmatrix} b_{23}^2 \\ b_{13}^2 \\ b_{12}^2 \end{pmatrix}\right) + \theta_4 \Re\frac{e^{-i\tilde{\tilde{\rho}}\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}\begin{pmatrix} b_{23}^3 \\ b_{13}^3 \\ b_{12}^3 \end{pmatrix},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_2 =& \Im\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}\begin{pmatrix} b_{23}^0 \\ b_{13}^0 \\ b_{12}^0 \end{pmatrix} + \tilde{\theta}_1 \Re\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}\begin{pmatrix} b_{23}^1 \\ b_{13}^1 \\ b_{12}^1 \end{pmatrix} + \tilde{\theta}_2 \Im\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}\begin{pmatrix} b_{23}^1 \\ b_{13}^1 \\ b_{12}^1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \tilde{\theta}_3 \Re\left(\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|}\begin{pmatrix} b_{23}^1 \\ b_{13}^1 \\ b_{12}^1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{e^{-i\tilde{\rho}\cdot x}}{|\tilde{\rho}|}\begin{pmatrix} b_{23}^2 \\ b_{13}^2 \\ b_{12}^2 \end{pmatrix}\right) + \tilde{\theta}_4 \Re\frac{e^{-i\tilde{\tilde{\rho}}\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}\begin{pmatrix} b_{23}^3 \\ b_{13}^3 \\ b_{12}^3 \end{pmatrix},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_3 =& \Re\frac{e^{-i\tilde{\tilde{\rho}}\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\tilde{b}}_{23}^0 \\ \tilde{\tilde{b}}_{13}^0 \\ \tilde{\tilde{b}}_{12}^0 \end{pmatrix} + \vartheta_1 \Re\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}\begin{pmatrix} b_{23}^1 \\ b_{13}^1 \\ b_{12}^1 \end{pmatrix} + \vartheta_2 \Im\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}\begin{pmatrix} b_{23}^1 \\ b_{13}^1 \\ b_{12}^1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \vartheta_3 \Re\left(\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|}\begin{pmatrix} b_{23}^1 \\ b_{13}^1 \\ b_{12}^1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{e^{-i\tilde{\rho}\cdot x}}{|\tilde{\rho}|}\begin{pmatrix} b_{23}^2 \\ b_{13}^2 \\ b_{12}^2 \end{pmatrix}\right) + \vartheta_4 \Re\frac{e^{-i\tilde{\tilde{\rho}}\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}\begin{pmatrix} b_{23}^3 \\ b_{13}^3 \\ b_{12}^3 \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

and γ_j, $\tilde{u}_j$ are functions which depend on the internal data.

Lemma 4.1. *β_j is not zero in some neighborhood of x_0, for $j = 1, 2, 3$.*

Proof. From above construction, we have knew that $\theta_i \sim \tau^0$, $\tilde{\theta} \sim \tau^0$ and $\vartheta_i \sim \tau^0$. Applying CGO solutions into the following vector, we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_{23} \\ b_{13} \\ b_{12} \end{pmatrix} = -2\mu^{-1} e^{i\rho\cdot x} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \rho_1(\rho_1+\rho_2+\rho_3) \\ \rho_2(\rho_1+\rho_2+\rho_3) \\ \rho_3(\rho_1+\rho_2+\rho_3) \end{pmatrix} s + O(|\rho|) \right), \quad \rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3).$$

At point x_0, we have

$$\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2} \begin{pmatrix} b^0_{23} \\ b^0_{13} \\ b^0_{12} \end{pmatrix} (x_0) = -\mu^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1+i \\ -1+i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} s(x_0) + O(\tau^{-1}). \tag{46}$$

Since $s^{(1)}(x_0) = 0 = s^{(3)}(x_0)$, it follows that

$$\theta_1 \Re \frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2} \begin{pmatrix} b^1_{23} \\ b^1_{13} \\ b^1_{12} \end{pmatrix} + \theta_2 \Im \frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2} \begin{pmatrix} b^1_{23} \\ b^1_{13} \\ b^1_{12} \end{pmatrix} + \theta_4 \Re \frac{e^{-i\tilde{\tilde{\rho}}\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2} \begin{pmatrix} b^3_{23} \\ b^3_{13} \\ b^3_{12} \end{pmatrix} \sim \tau^{-1}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1(x_0) \sim & \Re \frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2} \begin{pmatrix} b^0_{23} \\ b^0_{13} \\ b^0_{12} \end{pmatrix} (x_0) + \theta_3 \Re \left(\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|} \begin{pmatrix} b^1_{23} \\ b^1_{13} \\ b^1_{12} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{e^{-i\tilde{\rho}\cdot x}}{|\tilde{\rho}|} \begin{pmatrix} b^2_{23} \\ b^2_{13} \\ b^2_{12} \end{pmatrix} \right) (x_0) \\ \sim & -\mu^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \theta_3 \Re \left(\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|} \begin{pmatrix} b^1_{23} \\ b^1_{13} \\ b^1_{12} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{e^{-i\tilde{\rho}\cdot x}}{|\tilde{\rho}|} \begin{pmatrix} b^2_{23} \\ b^2_{13} \\ b^2_{12} \end{pmatrix} \right) (x_0). \end{aligned}$$

With suitable CGO solution $u^{(0)}$ with $r^{(0)}$ small enough so that $\theta_3(x_0)$ can be as small as we want. Therefore, $\beta_1(x_0)$ is not zero. By continuity of β_1, there is a neighborhood V of x_0 such that β_1 never vanishes in V. Similarly, we can prove that $\beta_j,\ j = 2, 3$, are also not zero in V. □

Let $\Omega_0 = U \cap V \cap \Omega$. Based on the lemma above, we may suppose that β_j are linearly independent in Ω_0 as τ sufficiently large. Then we have the following equation

$$\beta_j \cdot \nabla\mu + \gamma_j \mu = -\omega^2 \tilde{u}_j, \qquad j = 1, 2, 3, \tag{47}$$

with $\{\beta_j(x)\}_{j=1,2,3}$ a basis in $\mathbb{R}^3$ for every point $x \in \Omega_0$. Since $\beta_j,\ j = 1, 2, 3$, are linearly independent in Ω_0, there exists an invertible matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ such that $\beta_j = \sum a_{jk} e_k$ with inverse of class $C^0(\Omega)$. Thus, we have constructed two vector-valued functions $\Gamma(x),\ \Phi(x) \in (C^0(\Omega))^3$ such that (47) can be rewritten as

$$\nabla\mu + \Gamma(x)\mu = \Phi(x) \qquad \text{in } \Omega_0. \tag{48}$$

Let $g^{(j)}_\rho = u^{(j)}_\rho|_{\partial\Omega}$ and $\tilde{\tilde{g}}^{(0)}_\rho = \tilde{\tilde{u}}^{(0)}_\rho|_{\partial\Omega}$ be the given boundary data for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Let $\tilde{\tilde{g}}^{(0)},\ g^{(j)} \in H^4(\partial\Omega)$ be the boundary data close to $\tilde{\tilde{g}}^{(0)}_\rho,\ g^{(j)}_\rho$, respectively, that is,

$$\|g^{(j)} - g_\rho^{(j)}\|_{H^4(\partial\Omega)} < \varepsilon, \quad \|g^{(0)} - \tilde{\tilde{g}}_\rho^{(0)}\|_{H^4(\partial\Omega)} < \varepsilon.$$

Then we can find solutions $\tilde{\tilde{u}}^{(0)}$, $u^{(j)}$ of the elasticity system with boundary data $\tilde{\tilde{g}}^{(0)}, g^{(j)}$, respectively. By regularity theorem, it follows that

$$\|u^{(j)} - u_\rho^{(j)}\|_{H^4(\Omega)} < C\varepsilon, \qquad \|u^{(j)} - \tilde{\tilde{u}}_\rho^{(j)}\|_{H^4(\Omega)} < C\varepsilon. \tag{49}$$

Using Sobolev embedding theorem, we have

$$\|u^{(j)} - u_\rho^{(j)}\|_{C^2(\Omega)} < C\varepsilon, \qquad \|u^{(j)} - \tilde{\tilde{u}}_\rho^{(j)}\|_{C^2(\Omega)} < C\varepsilon. \tag{50}$$

From (50), for $j = 1, 4, 5$, we have

$$\|\Re\mathfrak{u}_j - \Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^{\mathfrak{j}}\|_{C^0(\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon$$

and

$$\|\Im\mathfrak{u}_1 - \Im\mathfrak{u}_\rho^{1}\|_{C^0(\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon.$$

Therefore, $\Re\mathfrak{u}_1$, $\Im\mathfrak{u}_1$, $\Re\mathfrak{u}_4$, and $\Re\mathfrak{u}_5$ are also linearly independent when ε is sufficiently small.

Then we have the following uniqueness and stability theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *Let Ω be an open bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^3$ with smooth boundary. Let (λ, μ) and $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}) \in \mathcal{P}$. Suppose that $\mu|_{\partial\Omega} = \tilde{\mu}|_{\partial\Omega}$. Then there is an open set of the given boundary data such that the uniqueness and global stability of μ hold.*

4.2. **Global reconstruction of λ in 3D.**

The elasticity system can also be written in this form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nabla\cdot u \\ \nabla\cdot u \\ \nabla\cdot u \\ b_{23} \\ b_{13} \\ b_{12} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1(\lambda+\mu) \\ \partial_2(\lambda+\mu) \\ \partial_3(\lambda+\mu) \\ \partial_1\mu \\ \partial_2\mu \\ \partial_3\mu \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1(\nabla\cdot u) \\ \partial_2(\nabla\cdot u) \\ \partial_3(\nabla\cdot u) \\ \partial_1(b_{23}) \\ \partial_2(b_{13}) \\ \partial_3(b_{12}) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \lambda+\mu \\ \lambda+\mu \\ \lambda+\mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} = -\omega^2 u^*. \tag{51}$$

As in the reconstruction of μ, we will construct CGO solutions such that the first term of (51) can be eliminated.

Plug the CGO solution $u_\rho = \mu^{-1/2}w_\rho + \mu^{-1}\nabla f_\rho - f_\rho\nabla\mu^{-1}$ into

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla\cdot u \\ \nabla\cdot u \\ \nabla\cdot u \\ b_{23} \\ b_{13} \\ b_{12} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we get

$$v_\rho = e^{i\rho\cdot x}\left(\left(\begin{array}{c} i\mu^{-1/2}\rho\cdot r + 2i\mu^{-1}\rho\cdot\nabla s \\ i\mu^{-1/2}\rho\cdot r + 2i\mu^{-1}\rho\cdot\nabla s \\ i\mu^{-1/2}\rho\cdot r + 2i\mu^{-1}\rho\cdot\nabla s \\ -2\mu^{-1}\rho_1(\rho_1+\rho_2+\rho_3)s + O(|\rho|) \\ -2\mu^{-1}\rho_2(\rho_1+\rho_2+\rho_3)s + O(|\rho|) \\ -2\mu^{-1}\rho_3(\rho_1+\rho_2+\rho_3)s + O(|\rho|) \end{array}\right) + O(1)\right).$$

For the same fixed point $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$. We choose a constant vector $g^{(0)}$ such that $C_0(x,\theta)g^{(0)} = (r^{(0)}, s^{(0)})$ with $s^{(0)} \neq 0$ and $\rho\cdot r^{(0)} \neq 0$ in a neighborhood of x_0, say U_0, and $\rho\cdot r^{(0)}(x_0) = 1$. Then we get the CGO solution of the elasticity system

$$u_\rho^{(0)} = \mu^{-1/2}w_\rho^{(0)} + \mu^{-1}\nabla f_\rho^{(0)} - f_\rho^{(0)}\nabla\mu^{-1}$$

with

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} w_\rho^{(0)} \\ f_\rho^{(0)} \end{array}\right) = e^{i\rho\cdot x}\left(\left(\begin{array}{c} r^{(0)} \\ s^{(0)} \end{array}\right) + O(\tau^{-1})\right).$$

We choose another constant vector $g^{(1)}$ such that $C_1(x,\theta)g^{(1)} = (r^{(1)}, s^{(1)})$ with $s^{(1)} \neq 0$ in a neighborhood of x_0, say U_1, and $\rho\cdot r^{(1)}(x_0) = 0$. Then we get the CGO solution of the elasticity system

$$u_\rho^{(1)} = \mu^{-1/2}w_\rho^{(1)} + \mu^{-1}\nabla f_\rho^{(1)} - f_\rho^{(1)}\nabla\mu^{-1}$$

with

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} w_\rho^{(1)} \\ f_\rho^{(1)} \end{array}\right) = e^{i\rho\cdot x}\left(\left(\begin{array}{c} r^{(1)} \\ s^{(1)} \end{array}\right) + O(\tau^{-1})\right).$$

For $k = 2, 3$, we choose a constant vector $g^{(k)}$ such that $C_k(x,\theta)g^{(k)} = (r^{(k)}, s^{(k)})$ with $\rho\cdot r^{(k)} \neq 0$ in a neighborhood of x_0, say U_2. Here we can choose $r^{(2)} = r^{(3)}$, $s^{(2)} = s^{(3)}$ by taking $g^{(2)} = g^{(3)}$ and $C_2(x,\theta) = C_3(x,\tilde\theta)$. Then we get the CGO solution of the elasticity system

$$u_\rho^{(k)} = \mu^{-1/2}w_\rho^{(k)} + \mu^{-1}\nabla f_\rho^{(k)} - f_\rho^{(k)}\nabla\mu^{-1}$$

with

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} w_\rho^{(2)} \\ f_\rho^{(2)} \end{array}\right) = e^{i\rho\cdot x}\left(\left(\begin{array}{c} r^{(2)} \\ s^{(2)} \end{array}\right) + O(\tau^{-1})\right),$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} w_\rho^{(3)} \\ f_\rho^{(3)} \end{array}\right) = e^{i\tilde\rho\cdot x}\left(\left(\begin{array}{c} r^{(3)} \\ s^{(3)} \end{array}\right) + O(\tau^{-1})\right).$$

For $\tilde{\tilde\rho}$, we choose another constant vector $g^{(4)}$ such that $C_4(x,\theta)g^{(4)} = (r^{(4)}, s^{(4)})$ with $s^{(4)} \neq 0$ in a neighborhood of x_0, say U_3, and $\tilde{\tilde\rho}\cdot r^{(4)}(x_0) = 0$. Then we get the CGO solution of the elasticity system

$$u_\rho^{(4)} = \mu^{-1/2}w_\rho^{(4)} + \mu^{-1}\nabla f_\rho^{(4)} - f_\rho^{(4)}\nabla\mu^{-1}$$

with

$$\begin{pmatrix} w_\rho^{(4)} \\ f_\rho^{(4)} \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\tilde{\tilde{\rho}}\cdot x}\left(\begin{pmatrix} r^{(4)} \\ s^{(4)} \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1})\right).$$

Then we have that

$$\mathfrak{u}_\rho^1 := e^{-i\rho\cdot x}|\rho|^{-2}v_\rho^1 = -2\mu^{-1}s\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1+i \\ i-1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1}).$$

For $k = 2, 3$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{u}_\rho^2 :=& e^{-i\rho\cdot x}|\rho|^{-1}v_\rho^2 \\ =& \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} i\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}\rho\cdot r^{(2)} \\ i\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}\rho\cdot r^{(2)} \\ i\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}\rho\cdot r^{(2)} \\ -2\mu^{-1}\tau(1+i)s^{(2)} + O(1) \\ -2\mu^{-1}\tau(i-1)s^{(2)} + O(1) \\ O(1) \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1})\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{u}_\rho^3 :=& e^{-i\tilde{\rho}\cdot x}|\tilde{\rho}|^{-1}v_\rho^3 \\ =& \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} i\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}\tilde{\rho}\cdot r^{(3)} \\ i\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}\tilde{\rho}\cdot r^{(3)} \\ i\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}\tilde{\rho}\cdot r^{(3)} \\ -2\mu^{-1}\tau(-1-i)s^{(3)} + O(1) \\ -2\mu^{-1}\tau(1-i)s^{(3)} + O(1) \\ O(1) \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathfrak{u}_\rho^4 = \mathfrak{u}_\rho^2 + \mathfrak{u}_\rho^3$, then the higher order is eliminated. Thus we have

$$\mathfrak{u}_\rho^4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} i\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}(\rho+\tilde{\rho})\cdot r^{(3)} \\ i\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}(\rho+\tilde{\rho})\cdot r^{(3)} \\ i\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}(\rho+\tilde{\rho})\cdot r^{(3)} \\ O(1) \\ O(1) \\ O(1) \end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1}).$$

Also, we get that

$$\mathfrak{u}_\rho^5 := e^{-i\rho\cdot x}|\rho|^{-2}v_\rho^4 = -2\mu^{-1}s\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\0\\1+i\\0\\i-1\end{pmatrix} + O(\tau^{-1}).$$

From above, we have constructed four linear independent vectors $\Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^1$ and $\Im\mathfrak{u}_\rho^1$, $\Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^4$ and $\Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^5$ in $U = \cap_{k=0}^3 U_k$ as τ is sufficiently large. Therefore, there are four functions $\theta_k,\ k = 1,2,3,4$, such that $\Re e^{-i\rho\cdot x}v_\rho^0/|\rho|^2 + \theta_1\Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^1 + \theta_2\Im\mathfrak{u}_\rho^1 + \theta_3\Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^4 + \theta_4\Re\mathfrak{u}_\rho^5 = 0$. Summing over j, we get the following equation

$$\begin{pmatrix}\lambda+\mu\\\lambda+\mu\\\lambda+\mu\\\mu\\\mu\\\mu\end{pmatrix}\cdot\left(\mathfrak{v}_\rho^{o} + \theta_1\Re\mathfrak{v}_\rho^1 + \theta_2\Im\mathfrak{v}_\rho^1 + \theta_3\Re\mathfrak{v}_\rho^4 + \theta_4\Re\mathfrak{v}_\rho^5\right) = -\omega^2\tilde{u}, \tag{52}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{u} =& \Re\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}u^{(0)*} + \theta_1\Re\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}u^{(1)*} + \theta_2\Im\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}u^{(1)*}\\ &+ \theta_3\Re\left(\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|}u^{(2)*} + \frac{e^{-i\tilde{\rho}\cdot x}}{|\tilde{\rho}|}u^{(3)*}\right) + \theta_4\Re\frac{e^{-i\tilde{\tilde{\rho}}\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}u^{(4)*}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{v}_\rho^{o} = \Re\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}\begin{pmatrix}\partial_1(\nabla\cdot u_\rho^{(0)})\\\partial_2(\nabla\cdot u_\rho^{(0)}))\\\partial_3(\nabla\cdot u_\rho^{(0)})\\\partial_1(b_{23}^0)\\\partial_2(b_{13}^0)\\\partial_3(b_{12}^0)\end{pmatrix},\qquad \mathfrak{v}_\rho^1 = \frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2}\begin{pmatrix}\partial_1(\nabla\cdot u_\rho^{(1)})\\\partial_2(\nabla\cdot u_\rho^{(1)})\\\partial_3(\nabla\cdot u_\rho^{(1)})\\\partial_1(b_{23}^1)\\\partial_2(b_{13}^1)\\\partial_3(b_{12}^1)\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathfrak{v}_\rho^4 = \frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|}\begin{pmatrix}\partial_1(\nabla\cdot u_\rho^{(2)})\\\partial_2(\nabla\cdot u_\rho^{(2)})\\\partial_3(\nabla\cdot u_\rho^{(2)})\\\partial_1(b_{23}^2)\\\partial_2(b_{13}^2)\\\partial_3(b_{12}^2)\end{pmatrix} + \frac{e^{-i\tilde{\rho}\cdot x}}{|\tilde{\rho}|}\begin{pmatrix}\partial_1(\nabla\cdot u_\rho^{(3)})\\\partial_2(\nabla\cdot u_\rho^{(3)})\\\partial_3(\nabla\cdot u_\rho^{(3)})\\\partial_1(b_{23}^3)\\\partial_2(b_{13}^3)\\\partial_3(b_{12}^3)\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathfrak{v}_\rho^5 = \frac{e^{-i\tilde{\tilde{\rho}}\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1(\nabla \cdot u_\rho^{(4)}) \\ \partial_2(\nabla \cdot u_\rho^{(4)}) \\ \partial_3(\nabla \cdot u_\rho^{(4)}) \\ \partial_1(b_{23}^4) \\ \partial_2(b_{13}^4) \\ \partial_3(b_{12}^4) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We obtain that

$$\kappa\lambda = \sigma\mu - \omega^2\tilde{u}, \tag{53}$$

where

$$\kappa = (1,1,1,0,0,0)^T \cdot \left(\mathfrak{v}_\rho^\circ + \theta_1 \Re \mathfrak{v}_\rho^1 + \theta_2 \Im \mathfrak{v}_\rho^1 + \theta_3 \Re \mathfrak{v}_\rho^4 + \theta_4 \Re \mathfrak{v}_\rho^5\right),$$
$$\sigma = -(1,1,1,1,1,1)^T \cdot \left(\mathfrak{v}_\rho^\circ + \theta_1 \Re \mathfrak{v}_\rho^1 + \theta_2 \Im \mathfrak{v}_\rho^1 + \theta_3 \Re \mathfrak{v}_\rho^4 + \theta_4 \Re \mathfrak{v}_\rho^5\right).$$

Lemma 4.3. *κ does not vanish in some neighborhood of x_0.*

Proof. Similar argument as Lemma 4.1. Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_1(\nabla \cdot u_\rho) \\ \partial_2(\nabla \cdot u_\rho) \\ \partial_3(\nabla \cdot u_\rho) \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\rho\cdot x} \left(\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}\rho_1(\rho\cdot r) + O(|\rho|) \\ -\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}\rho_2(\rho\cdot r) + O(|\rho|) \\ -\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda+2\mu}\rho_2(\rho\cdot r) + O(|\rho|) \end{pmatrix} + O(1) \right)$$

and $\rho \cdot r^{(1)}(x_0) = 0 = \tilde{\tilde{\rho}} \cdot r^{(4)}(x_0)$, we have

$$\theta_1 \Re \frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1(\nabla \cdot u_\rho^{(1)}) \\ \partial_2(\nabla \cdot u_\rho^{(1)}) \\ \partial_3(\nabla \cdot u_\rho^{(1)}) \end{pmatrix} (x_0) + \theta_2 \Im \frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1(\nabla \cdot u_\rho^{(1)}) \\ \partial_2(\nabla \cdot u_\rho^{(1)}) \\ \partial_3(\nabla \cdot u_\rho^{(1)}) \end{pmatrix} (x_0)$$
$$+ \theta_4 \Re \frac{e^{-i\tilde{\tilde{\rho}}\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1(\nabla \cdot u_\rho^{(4)}) \\ \partial_2(\nabla \cdot u_\rho^{(4)}) \\ \partial_3(\nabla \cdot u_\rho^{(4)}) \end{pmatrix} (x_0) \sim \tau^{-1}.$$

Hence, we obtain that $\kappa(x_0)$ is equal to

$$(1,1,1)^T \cdot (\Re \frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|^2} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1(\nabla \cdot u_\rho^{(0)}) \\ \partial_2(\nabla \cdot u_\rho^{(0)}) \\ \partial_3(\nabla \cdot u_\rho^{(0)}) \end{pmatrix} (x_0)$$
$$+\theta_3 \left(\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1(\nabla \cdot u_\rho^{(2)}) \\ \partial_2(\nabla \cdot u_\rho^{(2)}) \\ \partial_3(\nabla \cdot u_\rho^{(2)}) \end{pmatrix} + \frac{e^{-i\tilde{\rho}\cdot x}}{|\tilde{\rho}|} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1(\nabla \cdot u_\rho^{(3)}) \\ \partial_2(\nabla \cdot u_\rho^{(3)}) \\ \partial_3(\nabla \cdot u_\rho^{(3)}) \end{pmatrix} \right) (x_0)) + O(\tau^{-1})$$
$$\sim -\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2(\lambda+2\mu)} + \theta_3 \left(\frac{e^{-i\rho\cdot x}}{|\rho|} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1(\nabla \cdot u_\rho^{(2)}) \\ \partial_2(\nabla \cdot u_\rho^{(2)}) \\ \partial_3(\nabla \cdot u_\rho^{(2)}) \end{pmatrix} + \frac{e^{-i\tilde{\rho}\cdot x}}{|\tilde{\rho}|} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1(\nabla \cdot u_\rho^{(3)}) \\ \partial_2(\nabla \cdot u_\rho^{(3)}) \\ \partial_3(\nabla \cdot u_\rho^{(3)}) \end{pmatrix} \right) (x_0)$$

With suitable chosen CGO solution $u^{(0)}$ with $s^{(0)}$ small, then it implies that $\theta_3(x_0)$ can be as small as we want. Thus, $\kappa(x_0) \neq 0$. By continuity of κ, κ does not vanish in some neighborhood V of x_0. □

Let $\Omega_0 = U \cap V \cap \Omega$. Then κ does not vanish in Ω_0. Then it follows that

$$\lambda = \frac{\sigma\mu}{\kappa} - \omega^2 \frac{\tilde{u}}{\kappa} \qquad \text{in } \Omega_0. \tag{54}$$

Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4. *Let Ω be an open bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^3$ with smooth boundary. Suppose that the Lamé parameters (λ, μ) and $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}) \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\mu|_{\partial\Omega} = \tilde{\mu}|_{\partial\Omega}$. Then there is an open set of the given boundary data such that the uniqueness and the global stability of λ hold.*

References

[1] G. Alessandrini, A. Morassi and E. Rosset, *Detecting an inclusion in an elastic body by boundary measurements*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **33(6)** (2002), 1247–1268.

[2] G. Bal, *Hybrid inverse problems and internal functionals*, To appear in Inside Out, (2012).

[3] G. Bal and G. Uhlmann, *Reconstruction of coefficients in scalar second-order elliptic equations from knowledge of their solutions*, To appear in Comm. on Pure and Applied Math, (2012).

[4] G. Bal and G. Uhlmann, *Inverse diffusion theory for photoacoustics*, Inverse Problems, **26** (2010).

[5] P. G. Ciarlet, *Mathematical Elasticity*, Studies in Math. and its Appl., (1988).

[6] G. Eskin, *Global uniqueness in the inverse scatteing problem for the Schrödinger operator with external Yang-Mills potentials*, Comm. Math. Phys., **222** (2001), 503–531.

[7] G. Eskin and J. Ralston, *On the inverse boundary value problem for linear isotropic elasticity*, Inverse Problems, **18** (2002), 907–921.

[8] H. Heck, X. Li, and J. N. Wang, *Identification of viscosity in an incompressible fluid*, Indiana University Matheatics Journal, **56** (2006), 2489–2510.

[9] M. Ikehata, *A remark on an inverse boundary value problem arising in elasticity*, preprint.

[10] J. R. McLaughlin, N. Zhang, and A. Manduca, *Calculating tissue shear modulus and pressure by 2D log-elastographic methods*, Inverse Problems, **26** (2010), 085007, 25.

[11] G. Nakamura and G. Uhlmann, *Global unieguness for an inverse boundary problem arising in elasticity*, Invent. Math., **118** (1994), 457–474.

[12] G. Nakamura and G. Uhlmann, *Erratum: Global uniqueness for an inverse boundary problem arising in elasticity*, Invent. Math., **152** (2003), 205–207.

[13] G. Nakamura and G. Uhlmann, *Complex geometrical optics solutions and pseudoanalytic matrics*, In: S.I. Kabanikhin, V.G. Romanov (eds), Ill-Posed and Inverse Problems, (2002), 305–338.

[14] G. Nakamura, G. Uhlmann, and J. N. Wang, *Oscillating-decaying solutions, Runge approximation property for the anisotropic elasticity system and their applications to inverse problems*, J. Math. Pures Appl., **84** (2005), 21-54.

[15] G. Uhlmann and J. N. Wang, *Complex geometrical optics solutions and reconstruction of discontinuities*, SIAM J. Appl. Math., **68** (2008), 1026-1044.